EMEP /MSC-W Date:

Note 5/99 July 1999

DET NORSKE METEOROLOGISKE INSTITUTT Norwegian Meteorological Institute

Research Note no. 30

COMPUTING SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES WITH THE EMEP **EULERIAN ACID DEPOSITION MODEL**

Jerzy Bartnicki

EMEP/MSC-W 1999

ISSN 0332-9879

Preface and acknowledgements

This document was prepared for the Twenty Third Session of the Steering Body of EMEP (Cooperative programme for monitoring and evaluation of the long-range transmission of air pollutants in Europe). It presents the current method used for routine computations of the sourcereceptor matrices for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen with the EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition model. Computed source-receptor matrices, as well as, import-export budgets for 1997 are also presented in the note. The complete description of the latest version of the EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition model is given in Olendrzynski (1999).

The author is grateful to Anton Eliassen, Leonor Tarrason, Krzysztof Olendrzynski, Erik Berge and Svetlana Tsyro for valuable discussions and suggestions on the presented method. Huge computational task, which required 22 days model run on CRAY T3E, would not be possible without support from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim, and especially without help of Årve Dispen and Jørn Amundsen.

Table of contents

Preface and acknowledgements	3
1. INTRODUCTION	7
2. DEFINITION OF SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES	7
3. FOUR METHODS FOR COMPUTING SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES WITH THE EULERIAN MODEL	8
4. COMPARISON OF FOUR METHODS FOR A ONE DAY RUN	.11
5. COMPARISON OF TWO METHODS FOR A ONE YEAR RUN	.13
6. COMPARISON OF SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES PRODUCED BY THE LAGRANGIAN MODEL AND THE EULERIAN MODEL FOR 1996	.16
7. RESULTS FOR 1997	.23
7.1 Non-linear effects in 1997 runs	.23
7.2 Comparison of the Eulerian model results for 1996 and 1997	.23
7.3 Source-receptor matrices and import-export budgets for 1997	.27
7. CONCLUSIONS	.36
REFERENCES	.37

1. INTRODUCTION

In general there exist two major problems when computing source-receptor matrices with the Eulerian model. The first one is caused by the nonlinearities in the model chemistry. The second is created by the nonlinearities imposed by the numerical method used for the advection equation. In addition, these two nonlinearities can interact amplifying the unwanted effects. In practical applications these problems arise during the computations of depositions resulting from emissions in European countries, when the sum of individual contributions is not necessary equal to the total deposition from all the European sources.

In order to investigate these problems and to find out the optimal solution, four different methods of computing source-receptor matrices were tested. The best method was then applied for computations of partial (6 sources and 10 receptors) source-receptor matrices for 1996 and full (43 sources and 43 receptors) source-receptor matrices for 1997. The results of the Eulerian model for 1996 were compared with the results of the Lagrangian model for the same year. Also the results of the Eulerian model for 1996 were compared with the computations for 1997.

2. DEFINITION OF SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES

The source-receptor matrices provide the important connection between emissions and depositions of pollutant over different time and spatial scales. Here, we are concerned with the annual country-to-grid source-receptor matrices which are defined in the following way:

$$A_{ijk} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{ox} \end{bmatrix}_{ijk} / \begin{bmatrix} SO_2 \end{bmatrix}_k$$

$$B_{ijk} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{ox} \end{bmatrix}_{ijk} / \begin{bmatrix} NO_2 \end{bmatrix}_k$$

$$C_{ijk} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{rd} \end{bmatrix}_{ijk} / \begin{bmatrix} NH_3 \end{bmatrix}_k$$
(1)

where: A_{ijk} , B_{ijk} , C_{ijk} are the source-receptor matrices from country *k* to the grid (i,j) for sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively; $[S_{ox}]_{ijk}$, $[N_{ox}]_{ijk}$, $[N_{rd}]_{ijk}$ are annual depositions of sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively to the grid (i,j); $[SO_2]_k$, $[NO_2]_k$, $[NH_3]_k$ are annual total emissions of SO_2 , NO_2 and NH_3 from country *k*.

The country-to-grid source-receptor matrices are calculated by computing, in the first step, annual depositions (dry + wet) in the model domain resulting from the emissions in each individual country or another emission source (e.g. ship traffic, volcanoes etc.). In the second step, the deposition fields are divided by the total annual emissions from a given source. For practical applications only the first step of this process is performed at MSC-W. In addition, so called country-to-country source-receptor matrices are computed each year by the MSC-W of EMEP based on the country-to-grid matrices. The country-to-country matrices are also called source-receptor matrices and this type of matrices, which and are routinely reported to the Steering Body of EMEP, will be mainly discussed in this report.

An important assumption in calculating source receptor matrices is the linearity of the model equations. This assumption is not entirely fulfilled in the EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition model because of the non-linear chemical reactions. Although departure from the linear relationship is relatively small in the model, it creates a problem for computing source-receptor matrices.

3. FOUR METHODS FOR COMPUTING SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRI-CES WITH THE EULERIAN MODEL

Source-receptor matrices have been already computed with the Eulerian model for 1992 Jakobsen *et al.*, 1997). In this approach (method-1, which can also be referred to as the direct method), deposition from the selected European country was computed by running the model with only this country emissions. The major disadvantage of this direct and convenient, from the practical point of view, approach is a problem with the underestimation of aerosol production due to non-linear chemistry. To overcome this problem three other methods have been tested with more indirect calculation technique. All four methods are summarized in Table 1.

It should be stressed that all methods, presented here, assume no major changes in the model structure for computing source-receptor matrices. From the theoretical perspective, it is possible to develop a solution which takes both total emissions and emissions from the individual sources into account at the same time during the model run. However, such a solution requires a lot of programming and changes in the numerical structure of the model. It is also unclear if the computer resources allow a run of a modified model version presently, and finally if the results are significantly better compared to the relatively simple methods. Taking these factors into account and having in mind a short time available for the final computations of source-receptor matrices, only four methods presented in Table 1 have been tested for selecting the best option for the 1997 computations. In the future more complex solution will be also investigated.

The second method (which can also be referred to as the reverse method) keeps much higher (compared to method-1) levels of aerosol production outside the emitter area. This means that compared to method one, simulated concentrations and depositions are closer to reality in method two. The disadvantage, in this case, takes the form of several, small negative values of the computed deposition in the vicinity of large gradients in the emission fields. This is the result of mostly numerical non-linearity and partly chemical nonlinearity in the emitter area and is also common for methods three and four. The negative values in the calculated deposition fields are eliminated by the mass conserving filtering procedure (Bartnicki, 1989).

One way to improve the situation, concerning nonlinear effects in the emitter area, is to reduce emissions from the selected country by 10% only, instead of eliminating them completely. This is the main idea behind method-3. This method is even closer to reality than method-2.

This idea is expanded further in method-4. In this method, a small hole in the emissions is replaced by slightly elevated emissions and reverse order of substruction. Since the advection algorithm (Both 1989a, 1989b) tolerates "mountains" better than "valleys", the numerical nonlinearity should theoretically have a smaller effect in method-4 than in method-3.

No.	Method - scheme	Method - description
1.	= 100% A Dep	Deposition due to emission in country A computed in the model run with emissions from country A only.
2.	All Dep	Deposition due to emission in country A computed as a differ- ence between the model run with all emissions and the model run with all emissions except emis- sions from country A .
3.	All 90% A Dep	Deposition due to emission in country A computed as a differ- ence (multiplied by 10) between the model run with all emissions and the model run with all emis- sions except 10% emissions from country A .
4.	- = Dep	Deposition due to emission in country A computed as a differ- ence (multiplied by 10) between the model run with all emissions (+10% emissions from country A) and the model run with all emis- sions included.

 Table1: Schematic illustration of four methods for calculating s-r matrices.

The four methods for calculating source-receptor matrices have been compared in two numerical tests. In these tests, separate runs were performed for emissions from selected countries and all other sources in the model domain except emissions from these countries. In addition, the model was also run for all European emissions. Then, the sum of the depositions from separate runs (sources) was compared with the depositions calculated with all European emissions. A comparison has been performed in the traditional EMEP domain common for the Lagrangian and the Eulerian model (Figure 1).



Figure 1: The EMEP domain in which all depositions were calculated and all methods tested.

The following variables have been calculated for the comparison of the runs:

$$MEN(TOT) = \sum_{i=36}^{I} \sum_{j=12}^{J} D_{ij}$$
(2)

where D_{ij} is the deposition matrix (for one of three compounds) computed from all European emissions, I=155 - for the Lagrangian model and Eulerian model applied to 1996 calculations, I=167 - for the Eulerian model applied to 1997 calculations;

$$MEN(SUM) = \sum_{i=36}^{I} \sum_{j=12}^{J} d_{ij}$$
(3)

where d_{ij} is the deposition matrix computed as a sum of the separate runs;

$$MASS(TOT) = \sum_{i=36}^{I} \sum_{j=12}^{J} \frac{D_{ij} \cdot \Delta x \cdot \Delta x}{m^2(i,j)}$$
(4)

where $\Delta x = 50$ km is the grid size and m(i,j) is the map factor;

$$MASS(SUM) = \sum_{i=36}^{I} \sum_{j=12}^{J} \frac{d_{ij} \cdot \Delta x \cdot \Delta x}{m^2(i,j)}$$
(5)

$$RMSE = \sum_{i=36}^{I} \sum_{j=12}^{J} \sqrt{\frac{(d_{ij} - D_{ij})^2}{(I - 35) \times (J - 11)}}$$
(6)

The last variable - MAX(SUM-TOT) is the maximum of grid difference between depositions calculated with all sources included and as a sum of the contribution from separate sources.

4. COMPARISON OF FOUR METHODS FOR A ONE DAY RUN

Computation of the source-receptor matrices with the Eulerian model requires significant computer resources. A one year run for one source/emitter requires approximately 11 hours of CPU time on CRAY T3E. Therefore, it was necessary to limit the tests, especially in the first phase and save the main resources for computations of full source-receptor matrices for 1997.

As a consequence of limited computer resources, in the first test, transport of pollutants was computed for one day only. Four runs were performed with individual emissions from France, Germany, Poland and all EMEP sources except those three countries. In addition, the model was run (reference run) with all sources in the EMEP domain (including individual sources mentioned before).

The results for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively, for all four methods. In the case of perfect linearity, deposition calculated as a sum of depositions from individual sources should be identical to the deposition computed with all sources. The differences indicate departure from linearity for each method tested. In Tables 2, 3 and 4, the best results for each variable are shaded.

The results show that the departures from linearity are not large for all the methods tested. However, method-1 and method-2 are definitely better than method-3 and method-4. In addition, method-2 performs slightly better than method-1. Therefore, for the next test, with full one year run, only method-2 and method-1 were selected.

Variable	Units	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Method 4
MEAN(TOT)	mg m ⁻²	0.5041	0.5041	0.5041	0.5041
MEAN(SUM)	mg m ⁻²	0.5055	0.5028	0.4824	0.4811
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	0.2677	-0.2621	-4.3192	-4.559
MASS(TOT)	tonnes	145.1358	145.1358	145.1358	145.1358
MASS(SUM)	tonnes	145.5180	144.7497	138.8474	138.4863
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	0.2633	-0.2658	-4.3325	-4.5814
RMSE	mg m ⁻²	0.0350	0.0287	0.1181	0.1237
RMSE/MEAN(TOT)	%	6.9431	5.7022	23.4221	24.5464
MAX(SUM-TOT)	mg m ⁻²	1.6500	1.2007	2.2703	2.3003

 Table 2: Comparison of four methods for sulphur.

Table 3: Comparison of four methods for oxidized nitrogen.

Variable	Units	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Method 4
MEAN(TOT)	mg m ⁻²	0.0934	0.0934	0.0934	0.0934
MEAN(SUM)	mg m ⁻²	0.0932	0.0936	0.0957	0.0961
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	-0.2346	0.2111	2.4913	2.8926
MASS(TOT)	tonnes	26.9134	26.9134	26.9134	26.9134
MASS(SUM)	tonnes	26.8537	26.9751	27.6211	27.7407
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	-0.2215	0.2292	2.6297	3.0741
RMSE	mg m ⁻²	0.0081	0.0070	0.0376	0.0403
RMSE/MEAN(TOT)	%	8.6699	7.5461	40.2295	43.1442
MAX(SUM-TOT)	mg m ⁻²	0.2400	0.1992	0.3290	0.3391

 Table 4: Comparison of four methods for reduced nitrogen.

Variable	Units	Method 1	Method 2	Method 3	Method 4
MEAN(TOT)	mg m ⁻²	0.1940	0.1940	0.1940	0.1940
MEAN(SUM)	mg m ⁻²	0.1945	0.1935	0.1878	0.1925
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	0.2379	-0.2325	-3.1938	-0.7505
MASS(TOT)	tonnes	55.0224	55.0224	55.0224	55.0224
MASS(SUM)	tonnes	55.1566	54.8894	53.2563	54.6082
(SUM-TOT)/TOT	%	0.2439	-0.2411	-3.2099	-0.7527
RMSE	mg m ⁻²	0.0116	0.0079	0.0450	0.0378
RMSE/MEAN(TOT)	%	5.9784	4.0868	23.2029	19.4954
MAX(SUM-TOT)	mg m ⁻²	0.3300	0.1623	0.6382	0.3708

5. COMPARISON OF TWO METHODS FOR A ONE YEAR RUN

The direct method (method-1) and the reverse method (method-2) were further compared for a full one year simulation (1996) with six countries as individual emissions sources: Germany Poland, Italy, United Kingdom, Czech Republic and Croatia. Two additional runs were performed with the Eulerian model for 1996. First including all the sources except the six countries mentioned and secondly, with all the sources including the six mentioned above countries (reference run).

Differences between the total run with all the sources included and a sum of the runs with individual sources expressed as percentage of the total deposition in the EMEP domain (Table 5) was selected as the most important indication of nonlinearity. The differences in Table 5 are small for both methods, but for all types of deposition method-2 performs better than method-1. Method-1 slightly overestimates the deposition of oxidized sulphur and reduced nitrogen and underestimates oxidized nitrogen. Method-2 behaves in an exactly opposite way underestimating depositions of oxidized sulphur and reduced nitrogen, and overestimating oxidized nitrogen.

Table 5: Differences between total run with all sources included and a sum of the runs with individual sources for 1996. Units: % of the total deposition in the EMEP domain.

Method	Oxidized sulphur	Oxidized nitrogen	Reduced nitrogen
Method-1	2.35	-1.63	0.067
Method-2	-0.31	1.44	-0.005

As an additional important measure, maximum differences between the total run with all the sources included and a sum of the runs with individual sources expressed as percentage of the total deposition in the EMEP domain was also calculated (Table 6). Also in this case method-2 performs better than method-1.

Table 6: Maximum differences between total run with all sources included and a sum of the runs with individual sources for 1996. Units: % of the total deposition in the grid.

Method	Oxidized sulphur C		Oxidized sulphur Oxidized nitrogen		Reduced nitrogen	
Method	Difference	Location	Difference	Location	Difference	Location
Method-1	10.1	(103,61)	4.2	(94,62)	2.7	(93,62)
Method-2	-5.9	(111,67)	-1.9	(94,62)	-2.5	(93,62)

Differences between method-2 and method-1 (deposition computed with method-2 minus deposition computed with method-1 for emissions from the Czech Republic) are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4, for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively.



Figure 2: The difference between 1996 annual deposition of oxidized sulphur computed with method-2 and deposition computed with method-1. Units: mg (S) $m^{-2} yr^{-2}$. Emissions from the Czech Republic.

In all maps, the area where the deposition computed with method-2 is at least 5 mg m⁻² higher than the deposition computed with method-1 is marked in black. White area denotes the deposition computed with method-2, at least 5 mg m⁻² lower the deposition computed with method-1, and grey denotes the depositions computed with both methods equal within $5 \text{ mg}^2\text{m}$

Concerning oxidized sulphur (Figure 2), the deposition computed with method-2 is lower, compared to the deposition computed with method-1 in the emitter country, and around it in the area of approximately 1000 kilometres from the source, especially to the North. However, there is one point in the source country (close to the Polish border) where the deposition computed with method-2 is higher. There are three spots, relatively far away from the source, where the deposition computed with method-2 is higher. The closest spot to the emitter is a part of the Adriatic Sea, next a part of the Black Sea and far away is the area in Russia in the Kola Peninsula. Generally, deposition of oxidized sulphur computed with method-2 is lower than the deposition computed with method-1 in the source region and in the area around it, and it is higher farther away from the source.

For oxidized nitrogen (Figure 3), the deposition computed with method-2 is lower, compared to the deposition computed with method-1 only in the emitter country. In the neighbouring countries to the emitter, and slightly further away, several scatter spots can be noticed with the deposition computed with method-2 higher than the deposition computed with method-1. For the rest of the model domain both depositions are equal within $5 \text{ mg}^2\text{m}$



Figure 3: The difference between 1996 annual deposition of oxidized nitrogen computed with method-2 and deposition computed with method-1. Units: $mg(N) m^{-2} yr^{-2}$. Emissions from the Czech Republic.



Figure 4: The difference between 1996 annual deposition of reduced nitrogen computed with method-2 and deposition computed with method-1. Units: $mg(N) m^{-2} yr^{-2}$. Emissions from the Czech Republic.

Concerning reduced nitrogen (Figure 4), the deposition computed with method-2 is lower, compared to the deposition computed with method-1 in the emitter country and in two small single spots outside: in Germany and over the North Sea. In the rest of the model domain both depositions are equal within 5 mg^2 however, far away from the source the deposition of reduced nitrogen computed with method-2 is slightly higher, compared to the deposition computed with method-1.

There is a clear common pattern for sulphur and nitrogen maps presented in Figures 2, 3 and 4. Deposition computed with method-2 is lower then the deposition computed with method-1 close to the source and higher in the distant locations from the source. This pattern indicates more long range transport of pollutants when method -2 is applied in the computations.

The results presented in this Chapter show that the reverse method gives slightly better results than the direct method when computing annual depositions in the model domain for individual emitters. In addition, the assumptions of the reverse method are closer to reality than the assumptions of the direct method. Therefore, the reverse method has been chosen for the computations of the complete source-receptor matrices for 1997. However, before these computations were carried out, the Eulerian results for 1996 were compared with the source-receptor matrices computed with the Lagrangian model.

6. COMPARISON OF SOURCE-RECEPTOR MATRICES PRODUCED BY THE LAGRANGIAN AND THE EULERIAN MODEL FOR 1996

In the presentation and analysis of the source-receptor matrices, two or three letters codes are used for the emitters and/or receptors. These codes are presented in Table 7.

Country/Region	Code
Armenia	AM
Austria	AT
Belarus	BY
Belgium	BE
Bosnia and Hercegovina	BA
Bulgaria	BG
Croatia	HR
Cyprus	CY
Czech Republic	CZ
Denmark	DE
Finland	FI
France	FR
Georgia	GE
Germany	DE
Greece	GR
Hungary	HU
Iceland	IS
Ireland	IE
Italy	IT
Latvia	LV
Lithuania	LT
Luxembourg	LU

Country, region	0000
Malta	MT
Netherlands	NL
Norway	NO
Poland	PL
Portugal	PT
Republic of Moldova	MD
Romania	RO
Russian Federation	RO
Slovakia	SK
Slovenia	SI
Spain	ES
Sweden	SE
Switzerland	СН
Ukraine	UA
Yugoslavia	YU
Remaining Land Areas	REM
The Baltic Sea	BAS
The Mediterranean Sea	MED
The North Sea	NOS
Remaining N.E. Atlantic	ATL
Natural Oceanic	NAT
European Union	EU

Country/Region Code

$1abic / \cdot Couch for an even constant in the computations.$	Table 7:	Codes for	all sources and	receptors used in	the computations.
---	----------	------------------	-----------------	-------------------	-------------------

In Table 7, Russian Federation indicates the part the Russian Federation inside the EMEP domain of calculations. The same applies to the Remaining N.E. Atlantic region and Natural Oceanic emission area. Remaining Land Areas include North Africa, Albania, Estonia, Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Syria, Lebanon, Israel, parts of Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Iran, Iraq and Jordan. The European Union includes 15 countries already listed in Table 7: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom.

Three different versions of the source-receptor matrices were computed with the Lagrangian model for 1996. The first version, which used meteorological input data from the HIRLAM model output (Tsyro, 1998) produced to high total depositions in some cases exceeding emissions. The main reason for high depositions in this model version was a parameterization of the vertical exchange of pollutants between the mixing layer and a free troposphere which was originally developed for the meteorological input data from the LAM50E model output (ADDENDUM, 1998) and produced slightly lower depositions, all below the emission values. The last, most recent version (Tsyro, 1999) used also meteorological input data from the LAM50E model output but with updated emission data for 1996 (Mylona *et al.*, 1999), the same emissions as used by the Eulerian Acid Deposition model.

The latest version of the Eulerian Acid Deposition model, used for the computations of sourcereceptor matrices for 1996 and 1997 was described by Olendrzynski (1999). From the computational point of view, calculation of the source-receptor matrices with the Eulerian model is a time consuming process. Computations were performed on the parallel version of CRAY (T3E) located in Trondheim, Norway. Typically 16 processors were used for this task and to compute source receptor matrices for one year approximately 22 days of the CPU time was required.

Because of the problem with computer time, in the analysis of the differences between the Lagrangian and Eulerian model, only six countries (Germany, Italy, Poland United Kingdom Czech Republic and Croatia) were selected as emitters and only ten countries were selected as receptors. These examples still show a general pattern visible for the complete set of receptors. Six of the selected receptors were the same as the emitters and four additional represented longrange transport sulphur and nitrogen to the North (Norway), East (Russian Federation), South-East (Turkey) and South, Spain.

Concerning the results for 1996, partial (6 emitters and 10 receptors) source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur are shown in Tables 8, 9 and 10 for the Eulerian model, Lagrangian model and differences between Eulerian and Lagrangian, respectively.

In terms of absolute values (Table 10), major differences between the Eulerian and the Lagrangian model can be noticed for indigenous (i.e. country to itself) depositions and transport from selected emitters to the Russian Federation. The largest absolute difference, in the depositions computed with the Eulerian and Lagrangian model, occurs for Italy (6.4 ktonnes or 56% of the Lagrangian deposition). For other emitters, indigenous deposition computed with the Eulerian model is 9% - 32% larger than the one computed with the Lagrangian model. Concerning transport to Russian Federation, the largest difference (41.1 ktonnes or 71% of the Lagrangian deposition) occurs for Poland as emitter.

		Emitters					
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	2147	56	517	240	646	3
	IT	64	1791	58	8	61	20
	PL	661	48	3210	62	465	7
S	GB	132	10	86	2107	60	0
eceptor	CZ	327	18	396	15	711	3
	HR	29	83	52	2	40	41
R	NO	120	10	100	255	49	1
	RU	544	133	990	160	375	11
	ES	19	37	7	25	8	0
	TR	16	23	34	2	14	1

Table 8: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrix for oxidized sulphur - Eulerian model.Units: 100 tonnes of S.

Table 9: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrix for oxidized sulphur - Lagrangian model.
Units: 100 tonnes of S.

			Emitters							
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR			
	DE	1867	90	447	222	752	3			
	IT	62	1151	45	10	48	19			
	PL	630	36	2957	47	480	8			
s	GB	97	5	54	1680	44	0			
ptoi	CZ	332	27	316	17	545	3			
ece	HR	24	72	40	2	31	31			
R	NO	51	1	58	112	22	0			
	RU	154	21	579	49	116	3			
	ES	12	15	3	22	4	0			
	TR	5	13	16	0	6	0			

Table 10: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur - Differencesbetween Eulerian and Lagrangian model. Units: 100 tonnes of S.

			Emitters						
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR		
	DE	280	-34	70	18	-106	0		
	IT	2	640	13	-2	13	1		
	PL	31	12	253	15	-15	-1		
S	GB	35	5	32	427	16	0		
ptoi	CZ	-5	-9	80	-2	166	0		
ece	HR	5	11	12	0	9	10		
R	NO	69	9	42	143	27	1		
	RU	390	112	411	111	259	8		
	ES	7	22	4	3	4	0		
	TR	11	10	18	2	8	1		

		Emitters							
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR		
	DE	1407	44	122	173	147	2		
	IT	51	1662	19	12	16	16		
	PL	263	36	889	45	137	4		
S	GB	117	14	37	1248	20	0		
ptoi	CZ	124	15	100	8	138	2		
ece	HR	14	100	17	2	12	14		
R	NO	66	7	24	156	10	0		
	RU	177	88	213	86	59	5		
	ES	19	55	3	23	2	1		
	TR	8	25	10	3	3	1		

Table 11: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrix for oxidized nitrogen - Eulerian model.Units: 100 tonnes of N.

Table 12: Partial 1996 sou	rce-receptor matrix for	oxidized nitrogen -	Lagrangian model.
	Units: 100 tonne	s of N.	

			Emitters							
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR			
	DE	1021	81	108	211	138	2			
	IT	71	763	17	13	17	10			
	PL	263	38	580	51	121	6			
s	GB	93	5	19	626	12	0			
ptoi	CZ	144	27	70	18	97	2			
ece	HR	18	75	14	2	10	11			
R	NO	56	2	32	118	10	0			
	RU	149	24	257	65	49	3			
	ES	22	13	2	25	2	0			
	TR	4	15	7	0	3	0			

Table 13: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrices for oxidized nitrogen - Differencesbetween Eulerian and Lagrangian model. Units: 100 tonnes of N.

			Emitters							
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR			
	DE	386	-37	14	-38	9	0			
	IT	-20	899	2	-1	-1	6			
	PL	0	-2	309	-6	16	-2			
S	GB	24	9	18	622	8	0			
ptoi	CZ	-20	-12	30	-10	41	0			
ece	HR	-4	25	3	0	2	3			
R	NO	10	5	-8	38	0	0			
	RU	28	64	-44	21	10	2			
	ES	-3	42	1	-2	0	1			
	TR	4	10	3	3	0	1			

		Emitters						
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR	
	DE	2447	12	99	43	57	2	
	IT	23	1483	6	1	7	13	
	PL	193	10	1495	10	65	4	
S	GB	72	4	23	989	8	0	
ptoi	CZ	165	3	57	2	216	2	
ece	HR	7	35	8	0	6	60	
R	NO	41	2	18	40	4	0	
	RU	119	30	185	22	39	5	
	ES	6	10	1	4	1	0	
	TR	3	3	6	0	1	0	

Table 14: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrix for reduced nitrogen - Eulerian model.Units: 100 tonnes of N.

Table 15: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrix for reduced nitrogen - Lagrangian model
Units: 100 tonnes of N.

				Emi	tters		
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	3043	37	62	46	44	1
	IT	50	1799	5	2	5	6
	PL	171	13	1679	8	51	3
s	GB	48	2	8	1314	3	0
ptoi	CZ	131	10	53	3	335	1
ece	HR	9	33	5	0	4	100
R	NO	33	1	19	27	3	0
	RU	62	8	132	9	12	1
	ES	10	5	0	6	0	0
	TR	1	3	2	0	1	0

Table 16: Partial 1996 source-receptor matrices for reduced nitrogen - Differencesbetween Eulerian and Lagrangian model. Units: 100 tonnes of N.

				Emi	tters		
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	-596	-25	37	-3	13	1
	IT	-27	-316	1	-1	2	7
	PL	22	-3	-184	2	14	1
s	GB	24	2	15	-325	5	0
ptoi	CZ	34	-7	4	-1	-119	1
ece	HR	-2	2	3	0	2	-40
R	NO	8	1	-1	13	1	0
	RU	57	22	53	13	27	4
	ES	-4	5	1	-2	1	0
	TR	2	0	4	0	0	0

For relative differences (absolute expressed in percent of the Lagrangian deposition), the largest difference (900%) can be noticed for the transport of sulphur from Italy to Norway. The relative differences are most pronounced for the Russian Federation and Norway as receptors. In comparison to the Lagrangian model, there is definitely more indigenous deposition in the Eulerian model (9%-56%), as well as more transport to distant receptors. For example, there is 72%-900% more transport to Norway, 71%-533% more transport to the Russian federation, 14%-147% more transport to Spain and 77%-220% more transport to Turkey. There is slightly less transport to the receptors in the middle range (e.g. Italy to czech Republic -33%).

When the sums of all depositions in the partial source-receptor matrices are compared for oxidized sulphur, there is 27% more deposition from the Eulerian model than from the Lagrangian model. This number corresponds well to the 25% percent of all depositions from inatributable sources calculated with the Lagrangian model for the full 1996 source-receptor matrices. In the Eulerian model, all depositions can be assigned to one of the receptors, and therefore, contributions from all emitters are on average expected to be slightly higher than in the Lagrangian model.

The results for oxidized nitrogen for 1996 are shown, as partial source-receptor matrices, in Tables 11, 12 and 13 for the Eulerian model, Lagrangian model and differences between Eulerian and Lagrangian, respectively.

As in the case of oxidized sulphur, major differences in the results of the Eulerian and the Lagrangian model can be noticed for indigenous depositions and transport of oxidized nitrogen from selected emitters to the Russian Federation. However, compared to oxidized sulphur, differences in the indigenous depositions are higher and differences in the transport to the Russian Federation lower for oxidized nitrogen. The largest absolute difference in the indigenous depositions computed with the Eulerian and Lagrangian model occurs for Italy (89.9 ktonnes or 118% of the Lagrangian deposition). For other emitters, indigenous deposition computed with the Eulerian model is 27% - 99% larger than the one computed with the Lagrangian model. Concerning transport to Russian Federation, largest difference (6.4 ktonnes or 267% of the Lagrangian deposition) occurs for Italy as emitter.

For relative differences, the largest difference (323%) can be noticed for the transport of oxidized nitrogen from Italy to Norway. The relative differences are mostly visible for Italy as emitter ranging, depending on receptor, from -46% (transport to Germany) to +323% (transport to Norway). In comparison to the Lagrangian model, there is more indigenous deposition in the Eulerian model (27%-118%), and slightly more, but less than in the case of oxidized sulphur, transport to distant receptors.

When the sums of all depositions in the partial source-receptor matrices are compared for oxidized nitrogen, there is 43% more deposition from the Eulerian model than from the Lagrangian model. This is approximately twice as much as contribution of all depositions from inatributable sources calculated with the Lagrangian model for 1996, and twice as much as the number computed for oxidized sulphur, mostly due to the large differences in indigenous depositions computed with the Eulerian and the Lagrangian model.

Partial 1996 source-receptor matrices for reduced nitrogen are shown in Tables 14, 15 and 16 for the Eulerian model, Lagrangian model and differences between Eulerian and Lagrangian, respectively.

Again, in terms of absolute values, major differences between the Eulerian and the Lagrangian model can be noticed for indigenous depositions and transport of reduced nitrogen from selected emitters to the Russian Federation. However, contrary to oxidized sulphur and oxidized nitrogen, indigenous depositions computed with the Eulerian model are lower than those computed with the Lagrangian model in the case of reduced nitrogen. The largest absolute difference in the depositions computed with the Eulerian and Lagrangian model occurs for Germany (-59.6 ktonnes or -20% of the Lagrangian deposition). For other emitters, indigenous deposition computed with the Eulerian model is 40% - 11% smaller than the one computed with the Lagrangian model. Concerning transport to the Russian Federation, largest difference (5.3 ktonnes or 40% of the Lagrangian deposition) occurs for Poland as an emitter.

For relative differences, the largest difference (400%) can be noticed for the transport of reduced nitrogen from Croatia to the Russian Federation. The relative differences are largest for the Russian Federation and Turkey as receptors. In comparison to the Lagrangian model, there is definitely less indigenous deposition in the Eulerian model (11%-40%), and more transport to distant receptors. For example, there is 40%-400% more transport to the Russian Federation.

When the sums of all depositions in the partial source-receptor matrices are compared for reduced nitrogen, there is 13% less deposition from the Eulerian model than from the Lagrangian model. This number corresponds well to 14% percent of all depositions from inatributable sources calculated with the Lagrangian model for the full 1996 source-receptor matrices.

The source-receptor matrices computed from the Eulerian and the Lagrangian model were compared earlier (Jakobsen *et al.*, 1997) for 1992. However, compared to 1996, a different Eulerian model version was used in this study. The results for oxidized and reduced nitrogen were similar for 1992 and 1996, but slightly different for oxidized sulphur, mainly due to that 1992 indigenous deposition from the Eulerian model was larger than from the Lagrangian model whereas, for 1996, this relation was just opposite.

The differences between the Eulerian and Lagrangian model results for 1996 can also be noticed in the ratios of dry to total deposition of different compounds. These ratios are shown in Table 17.

Model	Oxidized sulphur	Oxidized nitrogen	Reduced nitrogen	
Eulerian	53	42	57	
Lagrangian	53	36	38	

Table 17: Ratio of wet to total deposition in 1996 for the Eulerian and Lagrangian model.Unit: % of total annual deposition.

The contribution of dry deposition to total deposition in the Eulerian model is the same as in the Lagrangian model for oxidized sulphur, slightly higher for oxidized nitrogen, and much higher for reduced nitrogen. The higher dry deposition contribution to the total in the Eulerian model is not a surprise due to the multilayer structure and good resolution close to the surface of the Eulerian model as compared to the mixing layer concept of the Lagrangian model. Closer to reality representation of the vertical concentration profile in the Eulerian model means higher, than in the Lagrangian model, concentrations near the surface and also higher dry depositions.

7. RESULTS FOR 1997

7.1 Non-linear effects in 1997 runs

The non-linear effects in the computations for 1997 are visible as differences in the depositions computed in the run with all emission sources included (TOT), and the sum of the runs with contributions from all individual emitters (SUM). Differences between TOT and SUM in the entire EMEP are -0.5%, +2.1% and 0.1% for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively. Maximum absolute differences in single grids are 8.5%, 7.5% and 2.1%, for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively.

Also, because of nonlinearities, depositions computed for individual receptors in the run with all emissions and as a sum of runs with individual emitters are not the same. Depositions from the total and separate runs, including differences in percent of the total run are given in Table 18. The differences between the total and separate runs indicate the range of uncertainties in the computed source-receptor matrices due to nonlinear effects. The ranges of differences, in Table 18, are (-5%, +4%), (-8%+8%) and (-3%, +5%) for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively.

7.2 Comparison of the Eulerian model results for 1996 and 1997

Partial source-receptor matrices for 1996, computed with the Eulerian model, were compared with the Eulerian model results for 1997. To avoid the influence of emission changes in this comparison, the computed deposition for 1996 were scaled according to the ratios of 1997 emissions to 1996 emissions presented for selected countries in Table 19. The largest differences between 1996 and 1997 emissions can be noticed in Table 19 for oxidized sulphur. For oxidized nitrogen differences are smaller and minor for reduced nitrogen.

Differences between 1997 and 1996 partial source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur, expressed as a percentage of the emissions are shown in Table 20. Corresponding differences for oxidized sulphur and reduced nitrogen are given in Table 21 and Table 22, respectively.

Empty cells in Tables 20-22 mean zero depositions in 1996, and non-zero but small depositions computed for 1997. Compared to 1996 results, the most significant changes and increase of depositions for all three compounds in 1997 can be noticed for Turkey as a receptor. In the extreme case, the deposition of ammonia emitted in Italy in 1997 is almost ten times higher than the deposition of ammonia emitted in Italy in 1996. The increased deposition in Turkey can be, to large extend, explained by the larger area of this country in the extended EMEP grid applied for 1997 computations.

Recentor	Oxidized sulphur			Oxidized nitrogen			Reduced nitrogen		
песеріоі	SUM	TOTAL	DIFF	SUM	TOTAL	DIFF	SUM	TOTAL	DIFF
AT	758	784	-3	496	482	3	726	723	0
BE	437	442	-1	403	431	-7	387	401	-3
BG	2149	2180	-1	466	455	2	597	600	-1
DK	354	360	-2	296	315	-6	349	353	-1
FI	1003	989	1	533	502	6	310	303	2
FR	3540	3571	-1	3567	3584	0	3906	3958	-1
DE	3886	3980	-2	3195	3248	-2	3835	3881	-1
GR	1529	1554	-2	506	498	2	529	532	-1
HU	1459	1485	-2	565	552	2	586	589	-1
IS	90	87	4	67	63	5	26	25	3
IE	408	412	-1	282	306	-8	580	594	-2
IT	3894	3960	-2	2280	2261	1	2310	2318	0
LU	30	31	-1	31	32	-3	35	36	-1
NL	427	429	-1	426	465	-8	621	637	-2
NO	764	744	3	525	499	5	312	301	4
PL	7262	7333	-1	2482	2454	1	2510	2525	-1
PT	554	554	0	503	495	2	370	374	-1
RO	3525	3595	-2	911	882	3	1622	1625	0
ES	3431	3447	0	2150	2132	1	1828	1826	0
SE	1232	1226	1	996	957	4	607	591	3
СН	309	312	-1	238	240	-1	452	453	0
TR	3118	3150	-1	1573	1548	2	2204	2196	0
GB	3331	3344	0	2206	2205	0	1358	1357	0
BY	1530	1573	-3	599	585	2	1515	1520	0
UA	5222	5309	-2	1598	1578	1	4789	4793	0
MD	291	294	-1	88	87	2	296	294	1
RU	15472	15425	0	5968	5732	4	7361	7281	1
LV	390	410	-5	230	214	7	226	220	3
LT	466	489	-5	259	247	5	332	327	1
CZ	2159	2181	-1	740	734	1	664	673	-1
SK	879	909	-3	333	329	1	377	378	0
SI	260	269	-3	151	151	0	144	145	-1
HR	/08	729	-3	342	331	3	288	288	0
BA	966	1000	-3	305	291	5	264	259	2
YU*	1803	1849	-3	437	420	4	636	635	0
MK	300	309	-3	82	81	2	126	125	1
CY	36	36	0	26	25	2	12	12	5
REM	/986	/986	0	3092	3074		4594	4595	0
BAS	2689	2/06	-1	1067	987	8	1014	1009	1
NOS	6392	6418	0	2489	2327	7	1981	1982	0
ATL	14200	14089		5117	4881	5	2/29	2678	2
MED	12562	12453		2068	2034	2	1975	1995	-1
BLS	2827	2812	1	605	571	6	1072	1073	0

Table 18: Comparison of depositions from total and separate runs.

SUM is the deposition at the receptor (in tonnes of S or N) computed as a sum of the contributions from individual sources.

TOTAL is the deposition at the receptor (in tonnes of S or N) computed in one run with all emission sources included.

DIFF is the difference between SUM and TOTAL expressed in percent of TOTAL.

Emitter country	SO ₂	NO ₂	NH ₃
Germany	0.95	0.96	1.00
Italy	1.00	1.00	1.00
Poland	0.92	1.00	0.97
United Kingdom	0.82	0.91	1.01
Czech Republic	0.74	0.98	1.00
Croatia	1.11	1.09	1.04

Table 1: The ratio of 1997 to 1996 emissions for selected emitters.

Table 2: Differences between 1997 and 1996 partial source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur. Units: % of the emissions from each emitter.

				Emi	tters		
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	-16	4	-34	0	-8	-33
	IT	7	-8	-17	100	-24	9
	PL	16	2	66	27	27	-13
s	GB	-44	20	-80	52	-70	
ptors	CZ	13	17	13	33	101	0
ece	HR	25	-14	-27	50	-17	122
R	NO	-15	-40	-49	-52	-53	-100
	RU	9	27	9	-19	-26	17
	ES	-28	32	0	-38	-17	
	TR	227	413	161	350	170	300

 Table 3: Differences between 1997 and 1996 partial source-receptor matrices for oxidized nitrogen. Units: % of the emissions from each emitter.

				Emi	tters		
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	16	36	-26	47	-10	-50
	IT	65	-4	21	64	25	41
	PL	47	6	39	73	40	0
s	GB	-26	-14	-76	32	-65	
ptor	CZ	37	47	-9	129	110	0
fece	HR	92	13	-12	50	17	180
A A	NO	-21	-43	-46	-24	-60	
	RU	15	10	36	4	-7	20
	ES	33	71	100	24	100	100
	TR	300	300	260	267	233	200

				Emi	tters		
		DE	IT	PL	GB	CZ	HR
	DE	12	133	-29	56	-21	-50
	IT	52	36	17	100	-29	-29
	PL	51	90	7	100	42	-25
s	GB	-40	25	-82	1	-63	
ptor	CZ	22	267	-2	150	10	0
sece	HR	86	66	-38	0	0	5
A	NO	-12	0	-24	-20	-50	
	RU	-5	43	21	0	-28	-20
	ES	-17	100	0	0	0	
	TR	267	967	167		300	

Table 4: Differences between 1997 and 1996 partial source-receptor matrices for reducednitrogen. Units: % of the emissions from each emitter.

Compared to 1996 results, some differences in 1997 computations can be noticed for the relative contribution of dry and wet deposition to the total deposition. In Table 23, ratio of dry to total deposition is presented for the Eulerian model results in 1996 and 1997, and in addition, for the Lagrangian model results in 1996. The contribution of dry to total deposition in the Eulerian model results is higher for 1997 than for 1996. The main reason for this is the approximately 20% lower annual precipitation in the EMEP domain in 1997 compared to 1996.

Table 5: Ratio of dry to total deposition for different models and years.

Model & year	Oxidized sulphur	Oxidized nitrogen	Reduced nitrogen
Lagrangian - 1996	53	36	38
Eulerian - 1996	53	42	57
Eulerian - 1997	65	62	66

7.3 Source-receptor matrices and import-export budgets for 1997

The main, and for the first time, routine application of the EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition model this year was the computation of source-receptor matrices and import-export budgets for 1997.

Source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen are shown in Tables 24, 25 and 26, respectively. In these tables, the last column denoted as SUM gives the sum of all depositions to the considered receptor, which is equal to the sum of all columns in the source-receptor matrix. The last row in the source-receptor matrices, also denoted

as SUM, gives the sum of all depositions from the considered emitter, which is equal to the sum of all rows in the source-receptor matrix.

In Tables 27, 28 and 29, the so called percent source-receptor matrices are shown for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively. These matrices are defined as:

$$s_{p}(i, j) = 100\% \cdot s(i, j) / \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_{r}} s(k, j)\right)$$
(7)

where $s_p(i,j)$ is the percent source-receptor matrix, s(i,j) is the source-receptor matrix, and N_r is the number of receptors. The percent source-receptor matrices give contribution of each emitter to the total deposition in each receptor.

The parts of the source-receptor matrices involving a group of 15 countries belonging to European Union are presented in Table 30. The only reason for a separate treatment of the EU part of the source-receptor matrices was the clarity of the presentation. With the EU part included, definitions of "SUM" columns and rows in the full matrices would be not correct.

Export-import budgets for 1997 are presented in Table 31. In the export-import budgets, export of pollutant mass - $E_m(i)$ from the country *i* is defined as

$$E_m(i) = Q(i) - s(i, i) \tag{8}$$

where Q(i) is the emission from country i and s(i,i) is the element in the source-receptor matrix representing indigenous deposition to the country *i*. Exported fraction of emission $E_{\%}(i)$ from the country *i* is given by

$$E_{\%}(i) = 100\% \cdot \frac{Q(i) - s(i, i)}{Q(i)}$$
(9)

Import of pollutants mass to the country i is defined as deposition resulting from all emissions except emission from the country i:

$$I_m(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} s(k, j) - s(i, i)$$
(10)

where N_e is the number of emitters in the source receptor matrix. Imported deposition is also given, in Table 30, as a fraction, $I_{\%}$, of the total deposition to the country *i*:

$$I_{\%} = 100\% \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_e} s(k, j) - s(i, i)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_e} s(k, j)\right)$$
(11)

Source-receptor matrices, import-export budgets and other results of the computations for 1997 will by available on internet in the middle of September under address: *http://www.emep.int*.

Table 24: Source-receptor (country-to-country) matrix for oxidized sulphur in 1997 (Units: 100 tonnes of S, Emitters \rightarrow Receptors 4)

ᅜᆱᅇᅇᇗᅚᇆᆱᅊᇬᇰᇲ	▫삗⊢글록영	거 F 8 8 위 유	TT GB MD MD GB CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT CT	: S S S 또 H B 2 关 &	MMT MAT 33AS 10S 34C 10S 34C 10C
UUM 558 149 1 554 149 1 5540 1 5529 0 529 0 529 0	64	263 525 1 525 1 431 1 232 3 232 3 09 0	1118 1 5331 (5 530 1 531 (5 530 1 531 (5 891 M 890 1 890 1 800 1 8	159 1 159 1	004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 / 005 / 004 /
23 24 30 32 50 20 40 72 8 23 24 30 32 50 50 40 72 8	8 3 7 8 7 - 2 8 7 7 8	8 9 2 2 4 0 2 4 9 9 4 7	999 33 31 15 16 33 19 15 17 28 8 8 4 115 28 8 4 4 115 18 9 18 9 18 9 18 9 18 9 18 9 18 9 18 9	22 23 20 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	UL 252 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28
A 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7	80002±	> < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		0 0 28 15 8 34 1 2 28 3 376 8 3 1 2 28
²		000000			Z % 3 3 3 3 4 6 6
	- 10 10				L 43 7 2 5 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9
AT 2 3 4 8 4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	е 4 – <u>8</u> е –	- ~ ~		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
S 7 8 9 8 7 8 7 8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	%044%4	2 4 2 0 7 2 4 4		N 55 5 7 7 7 7 8 2 8 0 0 0 0
A B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	00- <u></u>	22 0 0 0 1 1 0 3 0 0 1		2000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
RE 33 7 52 7 7 7 9 9	0 - 0 3 - 0	15 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	315 20 59 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 861 3 871 3 870 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 870 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	210005333 510005333	62 62 51 51 51 51 58 51 58 51 58 51 58 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51
200-000-00		00-000	32 0 - 1 0 0 0 0 - 1 0	000000000	35 35 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
¥ o o r o o o o o o o o	00-000	-00000	м 0 0 % 0 4 0 0	0000-9000	× 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
YU* 0 7 8 8 79 8 79 8 79 79	0 0 4 0 0 4	41 0 5 9 1	74 35 25 25 111 8 8 4 4 4	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	- 1 10 0 114 10 0 115 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 116
8 4 5 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	0 22 0 - 4	36 114 2 2 2 2	53 19 85 6 127 4	9 20 6 6 461 461 14 14 14	1 94 17 14 8 8 338 53 53 53 53 88 BA
Н 0 0 4 0 – 4 0 0 8 0	0005000	r o 9 o o +	4 - α 2 - 7	- 4 4 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	HH 38 4 89 3 2 3 8 0 0 0
93330000000000000000000000000000000000	~ ~ <u>%</u> ~ ~ ~	6 0 4 ω 4 α	9 1 1 19 37 1 19	9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0 17 10 80 80 80 80 80 81 81 81
8 - 15 - 0 - 15 - 19 SK	0010-4	60 6 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	16 5 4 98 5 4 98 7 21 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87	37 37 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 3	X 82 88 94 12 87 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
CZ 6 8 11 11 11 11 11	85	437 0 65 35 35 35	27 13 5 13 13 13	0 1058 868 25 25 24 25 24 38 38 38	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
10000000-0		0 2 0 3 0 3	8 8 3 - 2 4 0 2 8	3 0 0 0 - 0 0	LT ::
3000070000		6000000	1 43 0 9 20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0	Z85 2 4 - 4 - 6 0 0 0
R - 2 - 2 - 2 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7	- 0 - 0 - 15	53 0 101 0 101	57 1 1 211 515 22 21 22 22 21	440000	RU 23311 33311 33311 113
900000000000000000000000000000000000000		-00000	0 0 13 8 21 - 0 0		P 2 4 3 4 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
N 0 0 8 - 1 0 4 6 6 0 0 1 - 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0		69 0 1 6 0 0 1 6 0	177 110 806 812 112 14	1 2 T - 0 4 2 0 0	
× - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0	00-00m	202020	7 7 00 00 10 115 115 116 116 116 116 116 116 116 116	2000000	3 3 3 3 3 1 9 1 5 2 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 2 2 4 4 7 2 2 9 4 7 5 2 9 4 7 5 2 9 4 7 5 5 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1	2 2 5 8 2	12 33 33 12 - 15	9 225 11 11 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	294-00/0	31 31 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
μοο4οοοωτά		-08000	0 0 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	, , ,	+ 4 2 2 0 - 1 - 6 2 0 8 + - 4 2 3 5 - 1 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -
		008			±80001 = 7000
S 0 4 8 9 0 8 6 1 1 1	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	9 5 0 0 0 2 0 0		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
0 2 3 4 4 4 4 5 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	28375 28375			
		0 4 0 0 f	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 2 2 4 0 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	0 0 0 0	3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0000000		- 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40 - 40
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	л сі 4 — ∞ 6	490 6 23 11 6 23 11 7	12 09 28 73 38 16 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	1 + 5 0 8 8 8 6 6 6	- 1 2 2 1 2 3 1 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0
2000+00+000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		0 0 0		N 22 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
10249001420NL	မကမစိုစ	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10-000	N 808 46 16
]0000000	0007000	-000	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		C 30 - 1 + 3 - 0 0 0 0
11 156 58 51 58 51 51 51 51 58 51 51 57	- 7 1 64. 9 - 1 - 1	49 49 49 14 64	118 21 21 21 21 20 7 7 7 169 6 6 6 6	21 23 23 23 24 23 21 23 21 24 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	2142 2142 2142 2142 2142 2142 2142 2142
Шоо-Сооо	202 	0 2 10 7 0 3	0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0 6 6 8 0 8 0 8 0 9 0 0 1 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1
<u>8</u> 000 - 00008	30000-	000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
HU 62 596 596 596	0 - 4 0 4 5	181 0 5 28 28 28 28 28	56 55 55 252 252 11 12 12 12	72 172 55 135 135 135	- 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0
6 8 9 9 7 3 7 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9	00%000	1 5 4 5 0 5	142 5 109 2 2 2	- 35 8 9 7 15 22 15 35 8 9 7 15 22 15	2 0 207 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
D 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	97 65 9 97	731 106 13 23 23	49 70 121 121 10 566 566 57	352 353 353 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 3	1 1 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 97 742 744 DE DE
FR 48 76 1560 353 353 9 9 13	o 103 40 40 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	64 22 25 25 68	20 20 35 35 1126 11		0 0 79 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83
E0000 <u>5</u> 0-000	00000	4000000	2 2 2 4 0 3 2 0 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5		E 48 - 0 5 - 80 E
Д с - о ^с б 4 ^с 0 - о Д	0 0 - @	24 0 2 57 0	- + / / 0 8 4 9	∞ - o o o c	Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
BG 1179 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 20 179 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0 - 6 0 0 4	53 0 341 2 2	532 45 45 30 30 542 8 8	- 119 - 117 - 117 - 117 - 119 - 119	- 4 321 113 1214 9 5949 BG
8 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1	1,000 m m	27 0 7 8 8	3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	- ² − ^α	0 9 37 127 17 17 17 17 17 8 1166
AT 0 0 4 0 - 5 0 0 1 - 5 0 0 1 - 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 0 0 4 0 0 -	5 3 - 8 0 5	4 F © 6 0 ^L F F		0 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
ᅜᆱᄢᇗᆽᅚᇎᆱᅊᇊᇰ	▫삗⊢글록영	거 F 8 8 위 유	GB MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD MD	: S S S 또 H B 2 关 &	AM MT MT 3AS ATL SUM .UM
	-			~ = -	L U Z ~ 2 U ()

			싞	щ	5	Χī	: #	Щ	щ	⊋	<u>ທ</u> າ	шF	= =	¦ ≓	9	۲		ç q	Ν'nμ	노	Æ	8	⊁ ₫	; ę	₽ >	3 5	Ŋ	ž	<u>و</u> در	Ă	ţ	¥	5.≥	i E	Ы	AS	S	56	S E	MU	
		≥	~ 	ш. 	ы С			2		т. Т				<u>~</u> ہ	~			- 9	о о "	, 0 , m	г со	ω,	 -	, <u>-</u>	ш - о с	 	0	თ. ო.		- ш - ю	~	2 '		. <	ш	е Б	Ž 0	₹ ≥ ب⊲	× ω	94 SI	⋝
		SUI	49	6	46	200	356	319	20	26	67	28.28	3 16	420	52	248	ŝ	6	GLZ	ଝ	157	220	150	88	596	52	74(ŝ	15	ଞ୍ଚି	43.	83	0 00	0	323	106	248	511	09	504	SUI
		VUL	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0		, 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	٨UL
		NAT	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0		。	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0		, 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	MAT
		S	0	0		0 0		0	0	0	0			, 0	0	0	0	0			0	0		, 0	0 0		0	0			0	0			0	0	0	0 0		0	۔ دہ
		D	-					-	-	-				-		-					-	-					-	-			-	-			-	-					Ш П
		МЕ	0	0	0	00		0	0	0	0 0	0 -	- 0	0	0	0		0 1	~ 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	00	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	э с	, 0	S	0	0	იი რი	00	56	¥
		ATL	~	9	N	ωu	142	28	4	2	∼ !	47	2 0	9	20	우	ŝ	~ [15/	2 ~	œ	66	n u	0	27	~ ~	2		- 0	1 01	ო	-		, 0	59	9	91	1216	9 m	2162	ATL
		SON	9	8	N	37	<u>4</u>	130	2	e	4 2	5 0	- a	4	50	37	2	4	2 8	3 ო	2	180	∞ ♀	2 0	° 8	~ ∞	6	~ ~	- 0	1 01	ო	0		, 0	21	46	88	596	ლ <u>+</u> ო	656	SON
		AS P	0		2	4 C		ņ	0	~			+ -	. –	0	œ	0	10 1			10		<u>ب</u> م	, _	9	t 0	6	~			0				4	22	9	4,	Ω 4	8	4SF
		N B				CV 14	, -	4	_						CV	9		_,	Ŧ						000	4 (1	-					-		_	е С	÷	C I	4 -		ю́ Q	⊡
		H	0	0 .	4		<u></u> 0	2	₽	-	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	-	4	-	ഹ	4 1	. 0	99		4 🖯		24	1 01	0	- 0	0 -	- ~	4	ŝ	⊃ 7	0	77	÷	-	ωç	50 50	129	H
		S	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	-	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	12	0	0 0	0	- 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	» с	, 0	15	0	0	0 ÷	⊻	52	ç
	67	¥	0	0	-	0 0	0	0	-	0	0	o .	- c	0	0	0	0			0	-	0	0 -	. 0	- 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	2	-		, 0	~	0	0	0 0	N -	15	¥
	10	*	-	。'	۵,	0 0		0	2		0	0 0		, 0	0	<i>с</i> о	0	<u></u> ,			æ	0	- a	, -			-	с,	- u		4	4			4	-	-	- ·	N GO	87	*⊃
	<u> </u>	~						-				_		-			-	~				-									س				-					₩ 	~
		BA	-	0	9	0 0	ი ი	-	9	æ	0 0	0 6	50	0	0	e	0	7	N 7	- 0	7	-	- «	0	6	0	-	с o	ΝŸ	5 23	R	2	э с	, 0	20	-	-	cv č	14	2	B
	ge	뛰	4	0		0 0	ი ი	-	2	4	0	0 2	5 C	0	0	4	0	œ ¢	N 7	- 0	ო	0	- ч	0	90	0 0	2	ო (ъ ę	ł ‡	12	-		, 0	ŧ	-	-	- 6	2 ∾	206	Ħ
	ğ	ิเร	19	0	N	0 0	<u>ہ</u> ہ	ო	2	ი	0	0 %	30	0	0	9	0	~ (.		ო	-	N G	0	o 0	0 0	4	4 ;	₽ ∓	с L	4			, 0	9	N	-	ი წ	₽ ~	193	ิเง
	<u>i</u>	¥	10		·		- 01	10	~	Ģ		~ "			-	N	<u> </u>	0.			~		n 4	<u> </u>	Ω,	- 0	2	o .	_ "		4		~ ~		0	10	~		20 10	. 84	¥
		s	4,	0				ŝ	C Q	4	0.			. 0		e e		с С			U		~ ~	,	~ '		÷	4	- 4		-				-	4,			0 11)	8	ა
		S	37	2	20	со ц	• ₽	120	S	4		- 5	30	2	4	18	0	8.	4 ¢	<u>-</u>	9	~ !	18	. 01	ξ,	2 1-	28	우 '	υĘ	4	21		0 0	, 0	24	5	5	88	3 1-	116	0
:		占	0	0	0	0 -	1 0	-	0	0	0	0 0		0	-	9	0		Эu	0	-	0 !	4 1 2	0	6	۵ ۲	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0		, 0	9	œ	-	ო -		136	5
	i Xi	\geq	0	0	5	0 4	+ 0	-	0	0	0	0 0		0	-	4	0	0	o -	• 0	-	0	9 0	0	1 28	- 4	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0		, 0	9	9	-	~ ~	c	81	≥
	r c %	D	_	~ '	э.			0	~	-		,			0	9		0,	~ "	, _	2	~	n 5		នេ		~	~			_				ę	-	~	В		55	⊃
,	ך £	ш С		Ο,		~ <	+	-	Ű	4			,		-	e		~ `		10	ი		9 2	-	8.						7			. 0	ŝ	e	0,	° ⊂	v ₽	46	<u>۳</u>
	× ¦	A	0	0 0	N	0 0	0	0	-	0	0 0	0 0		0	0	-	0	~ '	0 0	0	2	0	- 5		40	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	-	0	э с	, 0	4	0	0	0 0	N 1-	75	B
	atr	AU	-	0	8	0 0	1 01	2	2	വ	0	0 4	+ C	0	0	20	0	20	- 0	10	99	- 3	34	16	351	0 4	-	с о	о т		ß	-		, 0	72	S	-	9 ¹	85	1134	AU
1	ĒÏ	¥	0	0	N ·	- u		e	-	-	0 0	0,	- c	0	-	25	0	~ <	<u>о и</u>	, o	9	0	88 0	3 ~	168	, p	-			0	-	0		, o	17	6	2	مەر	<u>0</u> P	145	¥
DI.	$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{H}}$	-	N	ຸ .	~		_ه م	Ξ				ر د م		. ര	8	-	_	_ (-	8,	ω –	_	c	റയ	G	_							8	с С	9	8,28		80	_ ш
Ę	f N	G	-	4		iñ c	12	3	ц)	ц) ,	÷ (م -	_ ((20	₽	7	4	0, 0	ÑŌ	5 1-	-	4	- ~	1 —	∞ ÷		÷	4	- 0	14	Q			0	4	œ	22	₽°	ς Ψ	9 48	G
-	n so	Ħ	-	0	ה	0 0		0	13	-	0	0 4		0	0	-	0	∓ ,		0	780	-	т 8 С	3 01	ŧ.		0	- 0	0 -	- ~	2		∞₽	: o	309	0	-	~ 2	\$ 12	148	Ħ
	Due Co	Ы	9		-		- 4	59	~	ო	0,	- 8	3 0	, –	-	~	0	4.	4 0	19	ო	ŝ	∾ 4	0	о т		S	~ ~	- ~	ი	ო	0	э с	, 0	업	4	œ	₽ ;	± ∾	333	Б
	đ đ	Ж	-	0.		œ د	2 4	4	-	2	. .		, c	, .	83	83	0	ი ,	- 8	20	4	<u>م</u>	6 t	! -	22.4	₫ ₽	e	0	o -		2	0		, 0	5	88	8	Q (<u>ہ</u> م	343	Ж
	<u>7</u> 8	ŝ			_			m	m			st u				**	m .	-	8		m	ю.						~			_				6	~	m		p.	34 6	ۍ د
	i 1	ш	-	ω ι	Ω.	4 0	,	õ		e i	α,	÷ ā	6 -		ω	÷	<i>б</i> б '		4 0	,	Ñ	e E	4 ≓	. 0	2	4 (1)	ц)		4 ÷	= =	0,	(,)		0	÷	÷	ò	88	2 12	31	Ш
	nits	å	2	0	20	0 -	- ~	-	16	5	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	13	0	257	N 7	- 0	51	- 1	10	9 P	- 85	- ~	2	9		4	26	ŝ		, 0	39	ო	2	4 [23 6	839	ĉ
	S D	Ы	-		-		5 8	2	2	-	- ·	4 0	n C	, –	-	2	582	- į	159		2	œ ·		• •	ლ ი ი	0	-	0 0	о ,		-	0	э с	, 0	28	-	ი	555	- 7	842	Ы
	ř	2	15	- 9	6	9 g	3 12 1	8	E	<u>.</u>	~ ~	- 8	3 0	2	13	235	- !	22	io ĝ	2	8	6	4 6	2 =	8	0 0	91	ы. В	4 ¥	<u>5</u>	R	с		, 0	2	66	35	80 ;	7 R	073	2
	bto	_						0		-					10	÷	_					-	- ~	1.	~ ~		•	_				_		_		0	10	o.		6	_
	e G	ž	-			~ ÷	_ 10	÷	-	-	~ ~		10	. –	ல்	0	0	- (N Ę	- 0	ო	÷	сэ и С	, 0	8	4 (1	-	0 0	5 0		-	0	50	0	÷	÷	õ	²) 46	ž
	eo	R	Ξ	51	N	16	73	202	2	4	~ ~	∞ ÷	- ^	157	22	44	-	~ '	9 6	3 ro	2	53	∞ ÷		34		14	4	- 0	1 ന	4	0		, 0	17	37	203	5 5	<u></u> 9	123(Z
	5	З	-	~ ~	0	0 0	2 ₽	14	0	0	0	0 -	- 4	-	0	N	0	0	э т		0	-	0 0	0	- 0	0	-	0 0	0 0	0	0	0		, 0	-	-	4	۰ n	- 0	09	2
	ĕ	⊨	32	en 2		en ⊾	43	00	32	22		2 2	20	2	4	88	20	<u>ي</u>	4 9	2 00	8	2	13	4	26	14	52	ខ្ល	5 5	3 6	94	0.		- 0	91	16	84	22	8 ₽	582	⊨
	n		0,				~	Ű	~		,	Ŧ	2							Ű	-				0,					- 0,	0,				4		~	ф ю		9	
(ŏ	ш	0	- (0	~ ~		80	0	0	со ;	ю, .	- c	0 01	2 2	N		0		10	0	т. т		- 0	ς, τ		-	0	00	0	0	0	э с	, 0	2	e	ñ	4 -	- 0	53	ш
		S	0	0	Э .			-	0	0	ŝ	0 0		0	-	0	0	0	э -	- 0	0		0 0	0	~ ~	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	э с	, 0	-	0	-	ю. -	- 0	53	S
		£	9	0	<u></u>		- 4	9	ß	157	0	o \$	2 0	0	-	53	0	2	N C	0	9	-	8 6	i v	8	~ ~	13	ŝ	τ m	16	æ	2		, 0	17	ŝ	ო	ۍ ۲	<u>م</u>	565	£
		Нű	-	0;	5	00	o 4	-	05	с	0	0 2	t. c		0	e	0 !	₽.		, o	g	0	N X	2 01	8	- c	-	~ ~			Ξ	16		, 0	60	-	-		3 8	84	щ
			6	~		~ ~	4	80	~	~		- -	_	~	_	-			•		0,	~			ц ц		e	N .							-	9	9	ი.	+ 0	17 8	
		ö	12	ŝ	2	666	52	156	÷	4	ιΩ ;	ֈ	5 1		20	37	က	ŝ	N I	ະ _{ຄິ}	8	8	6 9	34	ê 5	3 N	16	6	1 2	រស័	8	e e	э с	, 0	8	16	26	ы 91 Э	1 20	474	ā
		Æ	ĸ	99	0	19 19	1861	298	4	4	ς Ω	52 1 2 2		. ୍ପ	8	61	1 1 1	4	138 85 a	5 13	25	: ⊒	4 8	- 1	61	° 9	29	~ ~ ~	9 4	5 12	15	ŝ	э с	, 0	143	51	273	518	2 <u></u> 2	4431	H
		Ē	0	0	-	- 6	<u> </u>	ო	-	-		0 -	- c	0	4	œ	0	~ ~	- 4	2 o	ო	- 1	~ «	0	137	04	-	0 0	0 0	0	-	0		, 0	24	49	ო	8.4	+ ~	548	Ē
		¥	8	- -	_	52 a	2 თ	7	-	2	. .		, c	~~~	55	5	0	ი,	- ?	10	4	∞	0 0	! -	6 <u>1</u> -	- 4	S	~ ~	o -		2	0	о с	, 0	15	6	1	12 4	0 00	85	¥
		ст (П	-		N	.		,	<u>.</u>							4		_	~				·		~ *	-					~		-	-			4			ن ک	ں ۳
		ä	-	o į		0 0	2 01	-	52	4	0	0 0	n C	0	0	e	0	· کر		0	64	0	e 6	24	4.		-	~ ~	- 0	იი	₽		- 0	, 0	45	-	-	- 5	4 4 0	60	Щ
		В	10	128	-	œư	117	156	-	ო	~ 1	~ 0	ה ה	4	÷	25	-	4 1	υç	2 0	ო	30	4 (c	0	19	1 4	12	ς Υ	- 0	1 01	ო	0		, 0	÷	23	105	- <u>1</u> 3	- α	910	Ш
		AT	76	0 1	۰ م		- 2	36	ო	29	0	0 5	5 0	0	-	21	0	. 19	4 0	0	2	~	υţ	2	51	~ ~	26	12	1 12	= =	12	-		, 0	18	9	9	우 5	2 10	455	A
			₽Ţ	ж s	5	Χī	: #	Щ	Æ	₽	<u>ω</u> ι	Ľ⊧	= =	┆ᢖ	9	ᆔ	F :	ې ۾	ΝЙ	금	Æ		¥ ₫	; e	2; 2	3 5	й	ж,	<u>ت</u> م	¥	Ļ	¥∶	≿≩	Ę	M	AS	So	Ę ĉ	LS E	M	
			_	(-	L L	\sim	<u> </u>			_		~	-	- 1		_ 0	- 0		-		- 2	-	. –	$ \cdot $	~ ~ ~	·		~	~ '	~ <	. <	Ω.	m	7	4.5	<u> </u>	ŝ	

Table 26: Source-receptor (country-to-country) matrix for reduced nitrogen in 1997 $(Units: 100 \text{ tonnes of N}, Emitters \rightarrow Receptors \downarrow)$

DK BG DK DF FI	B 문 전 리	≝ ⊑ ∃ ₹	P P N	ES B	유 암	E 8 2	₩ N	₽ ₽	35	Z CZ	S I	H A	*D	¥ö	AM	MT M	BAS	SON	ATL	MED	SUM
SUM 726 597 349 310 3835	529 586 26	35 35 621	312 2510 370	1622 1828	607 452	1358	4789 4789	296 7361	226 332	664 377	144	288 264	636	120	169	0 4736	1014	1981	2729	1975 1072	56814 SUM
0 0 0 0 0 VNL	0000		000	00	000	000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	vuL VUL
NAT 0 0 0 0 0	0000		000	00	000	000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 NAT
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000		000	00	000	000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 BLS
MED 0 0 0 0	0000		000	00	000		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 MED
ATL 0 0 0 0	0000		000	00	000		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 ATL
S O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0000		000	00	000		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	- o	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 NOS
BAS 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000		000	00	000	000	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 BAS
40 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	₩ m o d	00%00	0 7 5	8 역	8 - 5	- 1 12 12	8 8	1 324	4	- ~	1	സന	6	£ -	121	0 3616	8	ю	18	332 38	4900 REM
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0000		000	00	001	0 0	0 0	0 -	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	D 4	0	0 %	0	0	0	₽ 0	5 ≥
¥ o o t o o o o	5 0 - 53	0 - 0 0	0 - 0	40	00.	400	0 m	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 -	13	80	0	0 0	0	0	0	∓ ~	130 MK
×1 - 1 - 0 52 0 - 1 €	14 0	0000	- 0 0	- 28	- 0 ;	<u>4</u> – .	4 19	1 20		- v		13 26	317	0	0	0 22	~ ∾	2	-	39 13	703 7U*
M - 0 4 0 0		0000	- 0 0	=	- 0 1	0 0	2 1	0 6	0 0	- ~		2 20	26	- 0	0	0 1	-	-	-	4 22	233 BA
Щ е о о о о т т	- 6 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	9 - 9	00,	- 0 -	- 4	04	0 0	~ ~	8	99 18 18	÷	- 0	0	0 %		-	-	- 2	16 E
S 20 - 0 0	0 4 0 0	o € o o	040	4 -	- 0 0	N 0 V	- 4	20	0 0	с , с,	25	19	с с	0 0	0	0 %		-	-	ę	174 SI
X 0 0 4 0 6	- 33 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 49 0	0 33	N 0 0	n 0 1	9 Q	- 6	- 0	25 118	-	9 9	ç, 1	- 0	0	04	4	2	2	9 0	SK 405
45 32 32 32 45	- 8 0 0	- 0 20	0 8 2	- 16	9 - •	4 σ (8 21	- 8	ი ი	238	0	യയ	÷	- 0	0	0 10	9 ₽	12	13	94	653 CZ
7 0 0 0 0 7 U	0000		- 7 0	- 0	. o a	- 0 9	9 9	0 8	32 102		0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 10	16	-	ო	0 -	286 LT
7 0 0 0 0 4 0 -	0000		- 4 0	00	m 0 0	0 0	21 0	31 0	4 1 1	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	- o	0	0 0	16	0	2	0 0	138 LV
Д - 0 4 0 € - 4	- 0 0 0	0 - 0 0	5 27 0	0 12	E 0 \$	4 O 4	52 167	2 4789	9 13 9	~ ~	0	0 0		- o	-	0	₽	e	44	96	5609 RU
Q 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0		0 0 0	49	00;	20	131 4	107 23	0 -	0 -	0	0 0	- (0 0	0	0 %	0	0	0	36 3	381 MD
3 - 1 5 0 3 0 2 UA	8 Q O C	0 0 0 0	2 110 0	191	2 O 2	2 - 9	192 3515	107 851	12	4 00		ოო	₽,	- 0	-	0 8	13	0	8	29 521	5896 UA
Ч - 0	- 0 0 0	0 - 0 0	ο % ο	t o ;	600	00	944 219	4 343	23 23	~ ~	0	0 0	- (0 0	0	0 4	£ 8	0	2	o t	1788 BY
GB 57 - 11 67 - 7	0 - 0	g ~ − €	0 5 8	ω4	4 4	1006	9 9	0 8	2	، ۍ	0	0 -	~ ~	0 0	0	0 10	27	575	625	ო -	2626 GB
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	= o o c	0 - 0 0	0 - 0	9 0	0 0 1	80CI ·	19	- 8	0 0	0 0	0	0 0		- 9	43	0	0	0	0	265 90	2391 TR
96 20 25 H	- 0 0 0	0 10 0 1	0	ς α α	271	- m	n w	0 9		9 0		~ ~	~ ~	0 0	0	04	ς α	ი	Ħ	₽ -	575 CH
SE 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 20 4 1	0 - 0 0		23 18	- 0	520 G	⊃ ~ ·	44	0 27	6 7	~ ~	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 10	130	18	15		524 SE
ES 5 195 25 25	94-9	37 37	5 10 64	6 1547	9 w 3	- 49	5	13 0	- 0	ς α		9 9	ŝ	- 0	0	0 4	2 ~	32	292	183	2589 ES
02 0 1 0 0 F F F	t 4 o c	0400	190	918 0	- 0 2	0,0	15 184	53 102	~ ~	0.0		44	44	m 0	0	0 %	с С	-	2	29 113	1765 RO
PT 0 0 4 8	000,	- ~ 0 0	1 1 297	- 11		- ~ ~ ·	o -	0 -	0 0	0 0	0	- 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	. –	4	193	= c	663 PT
PL 9 13 8 68 4 13 8 68 4 13 8 88 4 13 8 89 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	4800	0 1 0 1	13 1557 0	4-5	<u> </u>	9 7	128 212	5 217	27 54	54		2 12	÷ 15	- 0	0	0 %	12	8	33	∓ ¤	2843 PL
0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000		101 2 0	00	²⁴ 0 0	·		0 ٢		0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 -		20	39	0 0	215 NO
NL 88 43 11 1 43 31 267 267	0 0 - 0	с 382 382	12 27 0	4 - 5	19	- 8	6 4	15 0	4 0	o .	0		~ ~	0 0	0	0 %	58	186	67	ς, μ	1186 NL
LU 6 0 0 5 1 7 10 0 0 5 1	0000	000-	0 - 0	00		o - (0 0	0 -	0 0	- c	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0		0	ო	0 0	57 LU
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 0 % ⁻	- 0 1	19 1	4 2	4 74 8	ν Ω	31 6	43 0	~ ~	∓ £	8	4 58	43	» O	0	0 66	9	13	24	671 20	3632 IT
Ш о т о о т с р	0 0 0	⁵ 0 0 4	0 5 2	0 0	m 0 0	114		0 0		0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 -	· Υ	45	382	- 0	1074 IE
<u> </u>	0000		000	00	000		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	15	0 0	24 IS
H C 0 0 6	3 235 0	0 0 0 0	- 19	1 20	001	~ •	6 35	1 27		9 41	4	24 15	41	- 0	0	0 1	4	0	ო	യധ	608 HU
Ю 00400	349 0 2 0	0000	0 0 0	1 - 15	00	4 o ·	15 -	- 8	0 -	0 -	0	~ ~	► ;	<u>₽</u> 0	0	0 14	0	-	-	189	784 GR
DE 234 32 32 62 62 118 118 22 2745	ы 05 сл «	9 35 7 115	36 292 0	38	88 3	= 4 3	8 8	2 113	22 23	202 %		13	6	0 0	0	0 %	244	318	170	11 52	5290 DE
FR 23 23 11 3181 229	4 u ω 🕯	45 27 27	5 34 S	8 6	62 63	6 100	∞ =	0 8	9 9	51 م 18	0	9 9	9	- 0	0	0 %	8	430	641	123	5382 FR
F 0 145 0 0	0000		0 10 33	00	2 o 3	000	N -	0	~ -	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	04	36	-	9	0 0	276 FI
39 30 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	- 0 0 0	0 % 58	- 0	89 O V	- 2 0	9 9	c 8	98	4 -	0	- 0	- 4	0 0	0	04	191	138	37		88 F
BG 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	33 0 33	0000	- 4 0	1 52	0 0 5	ž - 1	3	35 35		- ~	-	~ ~	б і	0 0	0	0 9	- 1	-	-	41	562 BG
BE 7 7 207 0 0 93 93 103	0 0	2 6 73	7 13 0	~ ~ ~	، ۲ ₀	- 8 -	N M	o 9	~ ~	<u>ه</u> ر	0		- 4	0 0	0	0 %	14	118	58	ς - ω	787 BE
AT 239 3 3 5 5 5	35 1	0 0 5 0	21 0	4 - 1	~ ~ ~	r, − ι	° 5	0		52 16	11	11	÷ 9	- 0	0	0 4	2	9	2	۳ ۳	610 AT
DK BB DK FF F	E F S F	빌 드 글 볼	PI PI	<u> </u>	유요	E 8 2	NA N	₽ ₽	2 ∟	8 ¥	S I	₩₩	, ₹	₹S	AM	M H	BAS	NOS	ATL	MED	SUM

97	Ŋ.
19:	, NAT
<u>n</u>	, BLS
(u	, ME
gia	S AT
(re	S NO
<u>S</u>	W B/
nnt	E S
CO	¥ ,
ch	Ť.
ea	BA
in	뚶
Jur	เร
d	SK .
ns	2
ed	5
diz	2
ixo	B.
ofe	MD
u	NA J
27 itic	Β
ble os	GB
Ta	Ë -
al c	5 ·
tot	S.
le t	ES .
o th	Ъ.
r to	E '
Itte	<u>ہ</u>
, mi	ź ː
ц.	∠ ⊇,
ac	 E :
ofe	— ш.
it) e	S
Cen	로
erc	E .
d L	H
ii) (Æ
ior	Ē
out	Я
trik	BG
uo	Ш
\mathbf{C}	

A B B A F F A B B H	g & ᅖ ᄃ コ ᄫ g	2 목 땅 恕 앎 와 ;	R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	A M YU B H S S C C	REM BAS NOS ATL MED BLS
V 4 - ω ω - <u>+</u> 4	5 35 1		<u>0</u> 0040000	20 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	VUL 5 29 1 1 1 1
NAT 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0		+ 0 - 0 0 -	0000-0		NAT 2 2 3 3 4 1 1 1
BLS BLS					BC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
WEOOOOOOOO					MED
ATL 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 C	o ~ 4 0 − 0 ¢	v o r o o r o o	0-00000		AT I 0 0 1 4 0 0 0 1
NO 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0 0 ⁰		04000	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N 0 0 5 5 0 0
888 0000000000000000000000000000000000		v - 0 0 0 0 0 0	00-00-40		BAS
H 1000-00-00 100-00-00	00-00-	- 0 0 - 9	2004,	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	HE 3 2 0 0 3 20
≿ ∘ ∘ ∘ ∘ ∘ ∘ • • • • • • • • • • • • •			- 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	C 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0
W 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 c				0000004000	W 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 W
Υ − 0 − 0 − 0 0 4 α	00-00-	010-00	0000 0 -		X 3 5 0 0 7 7
В -00-00-000		000000	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	- 0 0 0 2 8 7 0	BA 200011
H - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	100-000			00007070000	H o - o o o o e o
0 0000000	- 0 0 - 0 0 0	-00000-0		0 0 - E 4 - 0 0 0 0	0 000-0 0
% ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			00-0	- ~ 12 - ~ - ~ - ~ - ~ 0 0 0	X - 0 0 0 - 0
2 6 0 0 - 1 - 4	1-0-00	N 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 0	т. 69 80 4 4 61 61 - 0 0 С	0 m n + + + 5
70000-000C		00000-00	0000	<u>0</u> 00000000000000000000000000000000000	1000070
2000-000 C		00000-00	00-00-20		<u>6</u> 000000
n 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000008	2-0-0000	0 0 0 4 - 6 0 1	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 4 0 7 0 4 B
9000000000			00000000		W - 0 0 0 0 - M
H 0000	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	0-040-00	9 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	00-0000	UA 5 - 0 0 - 4 - 0 0 - 7 - 4 - 0
™ 000000000000000000000000000000000000		00000-00	000040-040		B - 0 0 0 - 0
8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 19 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0	2-000840	0 0 - 0 0 - 6 0	m o o o o o o o o o	0 4 4 0 12 33 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
H 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		000000	52 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	H w - o o o w H
C C		0 0 0 0 <u>6</u> 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		G 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
000077000 8		000000000	00000		8 0000000
8 4 4 7 7 4 7 4 7 4 8 F 4 7 7 4 7 7 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	- 0 6 4 2 4 4	5 4 7 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 4 5 7 7 4 5 7 7 7 7	0 0 0 0	N 4 4 0 - 0 - 0 N	1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
0 + 0 + 0 + 0 0 4 b	00-00-		00400000000000000000000000000000000000		7 4 4 0 0 7 4 7 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4 9 4
F 00000+000	00-000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000		000000 4
5008778077 5	000-000	0 8 0 1 0 6 1 0	0 1 0 1 7 2 2 0 3 0 1 0 1 5 5 0 3	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	F @ 5 - 3 9 - 3
0 00000000000000000000000000000000000	000000	0000-00	00000000		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
50077070 <u>7</u> 7	52 3 0 0 - 0	-00000	00000000		F 0 0 0 % 7 0 0
]	0000000	0000000	00000000		000000 7
F 0 - 0 4 - 4 0	0 4 0		40-00	8 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	4 <u>+</u> 0 F
<u> </u>	o ∞ 6 0 0 0 •	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		000-000 <u>-</u>
<u>s</u>	, o o o o ² 0		00000000		S 0 0 - 0 0 0
Η 4 0 0 7 7 7 7 4 H		- 1 0 0 0 0 - 0	00404000		H + 20 - 2 - 2
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 0 0 - 0 0 0				
B ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁴ ⁶ ⁷ ⁴	· 4 0 0 倍 년 5	2000 Ű Þ (0004040;	E @ @ @ G 4 @ O	- <u>-</u>
- (- (- 1 <u>5</u> 3 2 0 -	51007770	- ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
<u> </u>		- 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000		
a - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -			000000	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
■	4007000			+ + ⁻	
		N D O O O O O O O	0 - 0 0 0 0 - 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
×∞00000-0-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		- N X - C 4 ^L X > 5 F	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
	=======	2 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		」 C 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	BL AT NC BL

			Ā	8	BG	Ξi	Ξ (Ξł	8	5	로 역	<u>м</u>		3	ľ	õ	2	5	2 u	3 23	£	Ë	8	B ∎	SP	5	≥	58	2 X	S	£ă	₹Ż	Μ	ני נ	Ā	N N N	BAS	NOS	Ę		л С
1		٨L	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	۰n
	66	NAT	0	0 0	0	0 0	5	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	5 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	- -	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	⊳ NAT
	[BLS	0	0 0	0	0 0			0 0	0 0	0 0			0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	0	0 0	。	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	∩ BLS
	=	Ē	0	0 0	0	0 0	5		0 0	0 0	0 0		, o	0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	0 0	。	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	∍₽
	on	Ę	0		0 1	ი,		4 ·			• ;	= ;	2	-	-	4	0	<u>8</u>	7 C	- 0	-	0	4	0 0	, o	0	-			0			-	0	0 0	~ ~	-	4	24	~ ~	드
	<u>I</u>	so I	-	~ •	0 !	£2 (N ·	4.	4 (0 0	0 0	οα		4	0	0	2	0 0			-	0	۰ œ		- 0	-	e	ლ .		0	0,		-	0	0,		4	16	9		⊳ so
	Ľ	AS N	0	0 0			= •				0,			0		4	ი ი	0,		_ <u>∩</u>	0	0	0	σ –		N	0	ω,		0	0 0	c	0	0	0,		9		-	0,	AS N
	<u>≥</u>	B	0	0,		0			0 0	N	0 0			0	0	0	0	0,		> —	0	4	0.			4	N			0	o .		4	2	<u>د</u>	N Z		0	0	~ ~	B W
	i n n	×	_	-		_	_	-	_	_	_			-	_	_	_	-			_	_	_				~	_		_	-			-	0.0		~	_	_		∽≻
	õ	×	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_				_	_	_	_	_		_		_				_	_		-			_	е С	_		_	-	-	_	- 0 _ ¥
_	ŝ	≥ ≛	-	_		_	_	_	_							_	_					0	_		, 0			_		0				_	_	-			_		′≥ *
) ac	×	0	0.		0,								0	0	0	0	0 0	N C	0	0	-	0	0 -		0	0	0 0	5 -	-		4 00	ŋ	0	•	- 0	0	0	0		- 7
	Ē	8	0	0,		0 (0	0 (0,		- 0	- C	- c	0	0	0	0	• •	- 0	00	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	o –	-	ഗം	ഹ	CN	0	0 1		0	0	0		- 28
•		Ξ	-	0,		0 0	0	0 (0 0	0 0	ოძ	0 0		0	0	0	0	0,	- 0	00	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 -	9	₽ -	t ω	-	0	0 •	- 0	0	0	0	- (〉보
	ge	S	4	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	CN C	0 0	- c	0	0	0	0	0,	- 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0,		5	ς α	- v	-	0	0,	- 0	0	0	0	- (> 0
	<u>5</u>	š	-	0,		0 0	0 1	0 (0 0	0 0	00 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	-	0 0	n c	0 0	0	0	0	- ~	1 (1	0	-	- 0	2 12	-	~ ~	s co	-	0	0 1	- 0	0	0	0	• •	- X
-		2	~		N ·			0.	4	- 1	- -		c	-	0	-	œ	0 0	n c		0	-	0	ო ო	0 01	-	e	с (89 12	с	4 ц	n n	2	0	0 1		2	-	-		- 2
-	eq	5	0	0 0	0	0,		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	ς, τ	- 0	-	4	ഗ	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0	0 0	> 5
-	Ĭ	≥	0	0 0	0	0,	- 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	ო	~ ~	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0	0 0	∍≥
-	Ĭ	₽	0	0 0	N ·		× ×	0 0	0,		- 0		0	0	0	2	-	0 0	N C	ი თ	0	9	0	= ≎	5 1 0	57	4	90	o -	0	o -		-	2	4 •	- 12	ო	0	2	- ;	≥ ₽
	o ⊷	QW	0	0 0	0	0 0	э (0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0,	- <	0 0	0	0	0	0 -	- ∞	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	• •	- 0
	o c	AN	0	0.	4	0 0	0	0 (0,				0	0	0	0	-	0 1	ດດ	0 0	0	4	0	9 5	18	9	-	~ ~	o –	0	0 0		-	-		- ~	0	0	0	- ;	⁺A
28:	ē	β	0	0 0	0	0,		0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0	0	0	0	-	0,			0	0	0 !	15		ო	e	4 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		-	0	0	0,	- ₽
ole :	SI	B	2	₽,	- :	S0 .	4 (io i	~ ,		- 5	8 8	5 -	2	12	20	en i			- 9	ю	-	88	ლ -		-	9	~ 0	N -	-			-	0	0 0	ч г	8	8	5		- 8
Tat	o d	۴	0	0 0	2	0 0	-	0 (0 0		0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0,	- <	0 0	0	50	0	0 ^	1 01	2	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 -		-	32	26	9 ף	0	0	0	ы С	≏ ≝
	qe	£	ო	0 0	0	0 0	ъ ·		2	0 0	0 0			-	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	26	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0,		-			-	0	0,	- 0	0	0	0	- 0	∍ ਮ
	g	З	0	0 0	0	ლ ი	× ×	0 0	0 0	0 0	0,		0	0	0	2	-	0 0	5 0	<u>م</u>	0	0	0	~ ~		-	2	4 (- -	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		8	-	-	0,	- พ
-	ğ	ß	-	~ ~				љ ,		4,		ო თ	04	ę	-	-	- :	، م	- 8	8 -	e	2	~ N			0	-			e	ო ი	° ∩	4	-	0 0	വര	-	2	œ	₽,	- 8
	he	ĉ	0	0 ;	13	0			0 0		4 (0	0	0	0	-	0 8	87.0	0 0	0	e	0	~ ~	21	-	-		0 0	-		- 0	4	-	0,		0	0	0	- 0	" ይ
•	ŏ	Ч	0	0 0	0	0 0	э.		0 0	0 0	0,	- 0	4 0	0	0	0	0	56	7 C	- 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	. 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0,		0	0	2		∘⊾
•	ř	님	ო	0.	4 (ლ. •	4 (N ;	÷			0	0	2	20	0;	2 0	ы С	0	2	0	1 24	: 얻	ъ	13	19 19	52 IS	e	4 и	۰ ۲	e	-	- c	5 CI	ი	-	-	2	ᇬᆋ
	Ħ	õ	0	0 0	0	~ ~	N I		0 0	0 0	0 0	N -	- 0	0	0	18	0	0 0		o 4	0	0	-		。	-	-	- 0	- 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		-	2	en en	0 0	⊳ 9
	Ē	z	2	13	0	9	N I	2	9	0,	. .	ກຕ	, o	2	37	4	5	0,	- <	, –	2	0	۰ ہ			-	e	ო თ	N -	-			-	0	0,		4	œ	en e		∍ ₹
_	с С	Ξ	0		0	0 0			0 0	0 0	0 0		, o	12	0	0	0	0 0	5 0		0	0	0	0 0	。	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	∍ ∃
	ac	F	18	- 1			- 1		2	16	₽,		- 02	2	0	-	2		ר ת	t	25	9		~ 4	4	N	2	~ ~	л с	42	88	3 ଷ	25	5 S	- 2	15 2	2	-	-	33	- ⊢
	t e	ш	0	0 0	0.				0 0	0 0	0 •	4 =	. 0	0	0	-	0	0 0			0	0	2	0 0	, o	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0	-	m	0 0	∍≝
	0 	s	0	0 0	0 1	0 0			0 0	0 0	o ;		, o	0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	0 0	, o	0	0	0 0		0	00	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	-	0 0	⊳ <u>s</u>
	eDi	₹	-	0 0	ლ ი	0 0		0 0	0,	- 8	82 4			0	0	0	-	0 0	600		0	-	0		2 01	-	-	- 0	2 M	2	പ	ით	2	0	0,		0	0	0		- ⊋
	õ	щ	0	0 1	~ •	0 0	5 1		0:	4,			c	0	0	0	0	0 0	NC		0	9	0	0 -	- ~	0	0	0 0	о г	-	- c	ч сл	19	4		പറ	0	0	0	우 '	იწ
	be	щ	20	4	m !	원 I	~ 1		6 1 0		თ. ო	- u	0 4	5	17	9	15		ω.	- =	Ξ	2	4	ç .	04	ŝ	4	4 2	3 ₽	7	r~ ¤	م د ا	4	- -		1 თ	16	Ξ	9	4 0	" Щ
;	E	er Fr	~	, 16		9	N	22	თ.		0 1	~ 0	o 1-	4	7	9	5	2	NG	പ	5	2	2	~ ~		-	4	4.	4 (V	4	ഗ്	າຕ	4	÷	0 0	04	5	Ξ	6	ი ი	-
		_ _	0		0 1	0	ې چ		0 0	0 0	0,				0	-	0	0 0		04	0	0	0.	- 0	, o	N	e	- 0		0	0 0		0	0	0 0	-	2	0	- -	0 0	-
;	Ĕ	¥	-	0 0	0 9	ლი					0,		, o	0	0	2	2				0	0	0	N -		-	Ω	م	- 0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0		4	2	-	0 0	- ¥
:	ЪГ	ں ق	0	。 。					0 0	. e		 			0	0	0	- ` 0 '	. ` 	 	0	4	- ` 0 ·	0 0	1 4	-	0	0,	- c	0		- ~	6	~	 		 c	0	0	~ ~	ם ت
	ntr	ш Ш	~	- ເ ເບ		- ` 			· `	- ' 	- `		, ~	9	0	~	-	- ·			~	·			۔ م		~	~ `	N -	, C			ć		- '	 	2	4	0	0 1	ш. С
	ō	B	2								` د د		 	-	1	6	- ·			.,	-	~ 0	0.				-		x) <+	8	` ص	t m	-	0	- · ·		-		0		ם ح -
		4	Ā	н Ш	ច្ឆ	ž	Ξ (Ξ	۳		로 9	Ωщ		: 3	۲	ş	ਟ	5	ç n	3 W	Б	Ĕ	8		58	R	≥	58	5 X	S	£ ≈	۲. ۲. E	٨K	5	Ā	- M	AS	SO	Ē	۔ 2 1	۲ ۲
																					-											_				Ξ.	-	~		2 '	-

		Ł		2 X	ū	Æ	끰	6	로	Ωц		: 3	Ł	g	ᆋ	2	2 8	S S	£	f	89	È :	55	55	≥	5	53	5 0	뚶	BA	Ż₹	i ≿	AM	Ξž		SON	ΑL	ШШ	BLS	
-	٨L	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	۰n	
n n	NAT	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	o Mat	
-	BLS	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	BLS 0	
-		0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0			0	0	- G	
5	Ę	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0	, c		0	0	0	0 0		. 0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0			0	0	° F	
- 20	os 4	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0				0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0			0	0	° s	
Ě	AS N	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	~ .				0	0	~				0	0	0	~ ~	- -			0	~		0	0	<u> </u>		0	~			0	0	AS N	
5	B	_	_			_	_	~					_	_	_				_	~	_	_				_	_		_		~ ~		~	ດ (ۍ س		_	~	_ 8 _ 8	
5	¥ 2	_							_					-	_							_					_		-				~	- 1	_	., 0	-	-	² ₩ - ≻	
Ş	ິ ເ	0	00	00	0	0	0	0	0	00		0	0	0	0	00	50	0	0	0	0	0 0	50	. 0	0	0	0 0	50	0	0	00	, w	0	0 0	50	0	0	-	ი ე ა	
Ę	Ē	0	0 0	V 0	0	0	0	4	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	00	0	0	0 0	00	0	0	2 2	0	0	- 0	0 0	00	0	-	° ź	
<u>מ</u> ר	Ŗ	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	ς Γ	، £	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 •	4 C	0	0	-	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0,		4	우	6 5	0	0	CI 1	- 0	0 0	0	2	- 5	
	BA	0	0 1	- 0	0	0	0	0		0 0		0	0	0	0	0 1	- c	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0,		÷	27	4 -	. 0	0	- 0	0 0	0 0	0	-	∘₩	
-	또	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	с і	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0,	- 9	22	~		0	0	- 0	0 0	0 0	0	-	°Ч	
n n	S	2	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0,	- %	7	-	0 0	0	0	- 4	0 0	0 0	0	-	° 8	
5	SK	-	0,	- 0	0	0	0	0	ത	0 0		0	0	0	2	• •	- c	0	0	0	0	0 '		0	-	0	43	<u>5</u> –	0	2		0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	∘ ¥	
Ĩ	8	4	0,	- 0	-	0	-	0	ς, ω			0	0	-	4	0,	- c	·	0	0	0	- 0		0	-	-	8	~ ~	0	ო	~ ~	0	0	0 0	э ,		0	0	∘ 8	
5	ㅂ	0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	-	0 0		·	0	0	0	ო			14	31	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	<u>د</u> ہ	
<u>ב</u>	≥	0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	- 0		0	18	e	0 0		0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	∘≥	
5	₽	0	0,	- 0	4	0	0	0	0 .			0	0	2	-	0,	- c	~ ~	0	2	0	ლ ი ძ	ν ,	- 28	4	4	0,	- 0	0	0	0 0	0	-	0 0	o c	0 0	0	0	^о В	
_	Q	0	0,	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	, c	0	0	0	0	0 0	° C	0	0	0	0	0 0	n y	30	0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	5 0	0 0	0	0	ω M	
2	M	0	0 4	0 0	2	0	0	2	с	0 0	, c	0	0	-	4	o ;	2 0	, .	0	5	0	13	5 92	2 P	ო	4	- ·	<u>ہ</u> م	-	-	~ ~	·	0	- 0	N +	- 0	0	-	49 UA	
2	¥	0	0 0		<i>с</i> о	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	-	с	0,	- c	5 0	0	0	0	8,	۰ م	<u>ہ</u> -	9	16	0,	- 0	0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	- ₽	
	89	-	ო ი	o 4	2	-	2	0	0	5 0	, C	~~~	2	9		0 0		2	-	0	74	0 0		0	2	2			0	0	0 0	, 0	0	0 0		ი <u>გ</u>	23	0	0 8	
2	£	0	0,	- 0	0	0	0	5	0	0 0			0	0	0			0	0	7	0	0 0			0	0	0 0		0	0	0 0	48	26	~ ~	jo c		0	13	∞ E	
2	天	с	0 0		0	2	e	0	0	0 0	-	. . _	0	0	0			. 0	00	0	0	0 0			0	0	÷.,		-	-	0 0	, o	0				0	-	。 ऱ	
v	щ	~	<u> </u>			0	0	0	~ .				0	2	-				0	0	0	~ ~	- -			0	~		0	0	<u> </u>		0	~	- °	° –	-	0	ں بر م	
5	s	_	_ ~		_	-	_	_			- 0	-	_		~ '	~	- LC	, _	_	_	_	_			_	_		- 0	_	0			_			- 01	-	~	- 0) _ 0)	
ם	ш о		_	 		~								~	_	- 1 - 1	- @	, .		~			. α	- -	_	_	_						_				-	_	- о - о	
5	æ ⊢	_					-								_	- -						_		_			_											-	- œ	
2	<u>م</u>	0	00	50	0	0	0	0	0	00		. 0	0	0	0	Ξ,	່	0	0	0	0	0 0	50	. 0		0	0,		0	0	00	0	0	- 0	50		~	-	° `-	
	<u>٦</u>	-	0 0	~ ~	9	0	CI	-	4 (0	0	4	6	0 0	° C	9 0	0	-	0	~~ ~	4 0	4 M	1	Ŧ	∞ ;	= -	N	e	~ ~	. 0	0	- 0	⊃ ÷	2 01	-	-	∾ ⊾	
	ž	0	0 0	00	^N	0	0	0	0 ·			0	0	8	0	0 0		94	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	00	0	0		0	0	0 0	э т		-	0	°¥	
ש	Z	-	Ξ <	ი თ	2	-	7	0	0	ო -	- 0	о С	61	4		0 0		ი ი	-	0	2	0 0		0	2	-		- 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	ით	2	0	°	
2	Ξ	0	CN C	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	00	24	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	∘ ∃	
ŭ	F	÷	0 0	V 0	0	2	-	4	· ي		> %	0	0	-	-	0 0	ο –	-	10	-	0	0,			-	-	2	57	20	18	- 9	·	0	8	، ر		-	34	⊲ Ľ	
5	ш	0	0 0	c	0	0	0	0	0	11 8	5 0	0	0	2	0	0 0		0	0	0	80	0 0		0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	14	0	∘≝	
Ì	S	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	ŝ	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	-	00	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	-	0	0 S	
ני	로	2	0,	- 0	0	0	0	- 1	6	0 0		0	0	0	-	0 •	4 C	0	0	0	0	0 1		0	-	0	- 3	- m	80	9	9 -	. 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	- I	
	В	0	1 0	~ 0	0	0	0	99	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	• •	- c	0	0	0	0	0 0	- c	- 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	-	-	- 1	-	0	с рания 1997 година 1997 година	- <	0 0	0	10	∾ წ	
=	В	R	ω,	- @	~	ო	72	-	ı ک		- ~	51	19	12	4	0 0	v c	13	80	-	ო	~ ~		- ~	9	8	8	ഹര	4	9	ო -	• •	0		- 2	16 4	9	-	- 8	
2	Æ	ო	50	⊃ m	2	81	9	-	- !	9 °	, v	28	4	9	-		<u>с</u>	പ	15	0	4	- 0		0	2	2	ი ო	- ~	2	2		·	0	r ي	- •	52	23	9	- E	
5	Œ	0	0 0	0 0	47	0	0	0	0	0 0	- C	0	0	-	0	0 0			0	0	0	0 0			-	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	o •	t 0	0	0	∘≖	
JT (¥	0	0 0	- 8	e	0	-	0	0	~ <		0	0	80	-	0 0		÷	0	0	0	0 0		0 0	e	e		- 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	⇒ ç	2	-	0	∘ ¥	
-	ß	0	0 \$	3 0	0	0	0	ъ 2	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	n c	0	0	2	0	0,		- 0	0	0	0,	- 0	-	-	- 4	. 0	0	- 4	5 0	0 0	0	-	⁴ ₿	
5	BE	-	54	c	-	2	ი	0	0	~ ~	- c	17	12	2		0 0		~ ~	-	0	-	0 0		0	-	-	(0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	э ,	- 9	2	0	∘ ₩	
5	AT	g	0 0		0	0	-	0	9	0 0		0	0	0	-	0,	- c	0	0	0	0	0 0		00	-	0	œ۰	4 5	9	4	~ ~	. 0	0	- 0		0 0	0	-	∘ T	
		Ł	H S	2 2	Œ	Æ	끰	E i	로 :	Ωц		: 3	Ł	ð	<u>ط</u> ا	2	2 SE	S S	ъ	f	89	Å :		2 2 2	≥	5	53	5 0	땊	BA	ž₹	ۍ ا	AM	μ		SON	Π	MED	BLS	
																																						_		

Emitters: 15 EU countries										
Decentera	Oxidized	Oxidized	Reduced							
neceptors	sulphur	nitrogen	nitrogen							
AT	417	378	609							
BE	391	361	384							
BG	181	108	72							
DK	224	196	334							
FI	383	344	224							
FR	3064	3159	3815							
DE	2766	2668	3596							
GR	565	348	385							
HU	191	172	112							
IS	42	40	16							
IE	364	206	577							
IT	2047	2024	2179							
LU	26	28	35							
NL	353	367	618							
NO	344	302	173							
PL	1073	733	493							
PT	494	402	368							
RO	356	233	134							
ES	3158	1933	1796							
SE	637	644	488							
СН	220	167	178							
TR	405	317	111							
GB	3032	1879	1340							
BY	240	155	85							
UA	544	303	157							
MD	29	15	7							
RU	1454	823	379							
LV	105	106	60							
LT	115	113	69							
CZ	481	298	313							
SK	133	99	74							
SI	88	102	69							
HR	172	196	105							
BA	170	178	91							
YU*	229	190	96							
MK	74	49	31							
CY	3	6	1							
AM	5	2	1							
MT	3	0	0							
REM	1096	1233	310							
BAS	1029	613	724							
NOS	4305	1834	1887							
ATL	6805	3142	2523							
MED	4651	1552	1230							
BLS	284	134	66							
EU	17922	14939	16748							

Receptors: 15 EU countries									
Emitters	Oxidized sulphur	Oxidized nitrogen	Reduced nitrogen						
AT	106	170	330						
BE	600	543	532						
BG	584	66	35						
DK	175	189	349						
FI	234	246	166						
FR	2512	2825	3865						
DE	2624	2487	3505						
GR	486	239	361						
HU	232	42	29						
IS	2	5	0						
IE	297	100	627						
IT	2105	2200	2283						
LU	22	38	45						
NL	303	637	799						
NO	25	93	34						
PL	718	254	160						
PT	551	508	437						
RO	126	34	23						
ES	4395	2105	1924						
SE	148	273	259						
СН	55	166	224						
TR	8	22	14						
GB	3366	2378	1267						
BY	31	19	27						
UA	53	21	29						
MD	1	2	2						
RU	416	106	33						
LV	25	10	8						
LT	25	12	12						
CZ	699	244	102						
SK	78	26	21						
SI	152	66	36						
HR	43	38	17						
BA	164	39	17						
YU*	149	20	30						
MK	11	2	25						
CY	1	1	0						
REM	223	50	124						
BAS	258	267	0						
NOS	507	686	0						
ATL	278	629	0						
MED	14	11	0						
BLS	0	0	0						
NAT	153	0	0						
VUL	1866	0	0						
EU	17922	14939	16748						

Table 30: Parts of the source-receptor matrices which involve EU countries. Units 100 tonnes of S or N.

ors	Oxidized sulphur					Oxidized Nitrogen						Reduced Nitrogen						
eceptc	Export		Imp	port	Sea	EMEP	IEP Export		Import		Sea	EMEP	Export		Import		Sea	EMEP
R	Mass	%	Mass	%	%	%	Mass	%	Mass	%	%	%	Mass	%	Mass	%	%	%
AT	226	79	698	92	15	95	447	85	420	85	9	87	380	61	487	67	6	99
BE	1037	86	272	62	33	97	889	87	275	68	24	90	589	74	180	46	24	99
BG	5646	83	970	45	25	87	513	75	294	63 97	13	89 78	377	59	340	57 28	10	89
FI	299	60	802	80	23	98	601	93 76	343	64	11	69	135	48	165	53	17	99
FR	3595	70	1981	56	34	95	3134	63	1707	48	21	89	2320	42	725	19	22	98
DE	5629	77	2174	56	22	96	3919	71	1627	51	15	87	2591	49	1089	28	14	99
GR	2278	84	1092	71	33	79	933	82	301	59	21	78	532	60	180	34	24	89
HU	2689	82	863	59	15	94	445	74	408	72	6	94	391	63	351	60	4	97
IS IE	100	82	68	75	76	97	79	91	59	88	40	61	16	66 55	17	67	60	98
IE IT	4967	75	207	58	39	98 90	3792	92 70	693	30	47	85	1772	47	288	10	40 19	99 96
LU	38	95	28	93	25	97	63	94	27	88	17	89	49	85	27	76	12	99
NL	512	83	320	75	38	98	1273	89	269	63	27	86	814	68	240	39	24	99
NO	103	68	715	94	42	99	581	86	430	82	30	69	117	54	211	68	31	99
PL	5993	55	2353	32	11	98	2291	65	1248	50	8	87	1324	46	953	38	7	99
PT	1529	82	217	39	48	80	958	77	221	44	23	68	499	63	74	20	26	83
RO	3108	68	2072	59	16	93	714	74	654	72	9	86	902	50	704	43	8	97
SE	226	65	1113	90	36	99	662	78	806	81	19	75	307	58	387	64	31	91
СН	92	71	270	87	12	96	320	84	177	74	11	87	311	53	181	40	6	99
TR	992	56	2340	75	13	83	1327	63	793	50	8	71	1076	41	637	29	13	90
GB	5655	68	706	21	52	98	4130	73	712	32	35	85	1654	62	352	26	46	99
BY	751	72	1240	81	6	95	486	85	511	85	5	78	860	48	571	38	3	99
UA	3856	68	3416	65	13	92	1042	75	1255	79	8	82	2488	41	1273	27	10	98
MD PU	4720	91 20	283	97 51	21	93	84	92 53	81	92	11	82 64	280	72	189	64 25	10	99
LV	252	85	347	89	10	97	100	94	2343	43 97	9	76	1223	72	187	82	13	93
LT	317	82	398	85	13	96	161	93	247	95	8	78	186	64	229	69	7	99
CZ	2447	70	1101	51	12	97	1003	78	455	62	8	90	429	64	427	64	7	98
SK	877	87	747	85	13	95	335	89	293	88	6	89	293	71	259	69	4	98
SI	523	87	181	69	18	92	197	92	133	88	11	90	126	71	92	64	10	98
HR	300	75	606	86	25	95	184	81	300	88	11	91	132	67	224	78	12	97
BA VU*	1939	81	505	52	20	91	216	89	278	91	13	91	185	57	210	50	12	91
MK	72	85	287	96	18	92 87	17	96	81	92	13	80	102	73	88	70	10	93
CY	213	91	15	40	31	74	62	88	18	69	19	74	29	87	8	63	39	81
REM	4746	53	4123	50	9	75	1172	60	2453	76	4	66	2256	38	1120	24	6	83
BAS	603	53	2150	80	55	99	901	84	895	84	24	79	0	0	1014	100	0	100
NOS	983	43	5106	80	70	99	1582	80	2100	84	38	84	0	0	1981	100	0	100
ATL	1945	43	11641	82	60	68	2637	68	3901	76	36	56	0	0	2729	100	0	100
MED	36	60	12538	100	49	79	33	84	2061	100	24	66	0	0	1975	100	0	100
FII	28710	62	6804	28	37	02	18460	55	2031	100	22	84	10456	38	10/2	6	23	07

Table 31: Import-Export Budgets for 1997

Export in 100 tonnes (mass of S or N) and as a percentage of the country (region) emission.

Import in 100 tonnes (mass of S or N) and as a percentage of the total deposition to the country (region).

Sea is the percent of the country (region) emission deposited to the sea surface.

EMEP is the percent of the country (region) emission deposited to the EMEP domain.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The most important conclusions from the study presented here can be summarized in the following points:

- Nonlinear chemistry and nonlinear effects induced by the numerical solution of the transport equation are the major problems in computing source-receptor matrices with the EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition model.
- Four different methods for calculating source-receptor matrices were compared for one day model run. Based on the results only two methods were selected for further tests: so called 'direct method' and the 'reverse method'.
- The direct and reverse methods were further compared for a one full year run (1996) with six countries as emitters and ten countries as receptors. In this test the reverse method performed better than the direct method, and therefore it was selected for the final computations for 1997.
- The results of the computations with the reverse method for 1996, in the form of partial (6 emitters and 10 receptors) source-receptor matrices, were compared with the source-receptor matrices computed with the Lagrangian model for the same year. Similar differences between the Eulerian and Lagrangian model can be observed in the computed partial source-receptor matrices for oxidized sulphur and oxidized nitrogen. In the Eulerian model, there is more deposition close to the source and more deposition far away from the source. Generally, the numbers in partial source-receptor matrices are higher in the case of the Eulerian model (27% for oxidized sulphur and 43% for oxidized nitrogen). These differences can be, to a large extend, explained by the fact that all depositions, in the Eulerian model, can be related to the contributing sources. This is not the case for the source-receptor matrices computed with the Lagrangian model, which include the column: 'Total inatributable sources' (IND). Total deposition from inatributable sources accounts for 25% and 22% for oxidized sulphur and oxidized nitrogen, respectively, in the 1996 source-receptor matrices for the Lagrangian model.
- For reduced nitrogen, differences in computed partial source-receptor matrices between the Eulerian and Lagrangian model indicate more efficient transport of ammonia outside the emission source in the Eulerian model. Close to the source, depositions of ammonia calculated with the Lagrangian model are slightly higher than those by the Eulerian model. In distant receptors (e.g. The Russian Federation) an opposite effect can be observed (depositions from the Eulerian model higher than depositions from the Lagrangian model). Average difference between the Eulerian and Lagrangian model, for all receptors in the partial source-receptor matrix for ammonia deposition calculated from the Eulerian model is 13% higher than the Lagrangian deposition and it is of the same order as the contribution of the inatributable sources of ammonia, 14%, in the full 1996 source-receptor matrix computed with the Lagrangian model.
- The contribution of dry deposition to total deposition, computed by the Eulerian model is the same as computed with the Lagrangian model in the case of oxidized sulphur, slightly higher in the case of oxidized nitrogen, and much higher for reduced nitrogen. The contribution of dry deposition to the total deposition in 1996 computed with the Lagrangian

model is 53%, 36% and 38% for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively. The corresponding contributions for the Eulerian model are: 53%, 42% and 57%.

- Contribution of dry deposition to the total deposition is even larger in the results of the Eulerian model for 1997: 65%, 62% and 66% for oxidized sulphur, oxidized nitrogen and reduced nitrogen, respectively. Larger contribution of dry deposition to total in 1997 can be, to some extend, explained by the differences in precipitation, which was approximately 20% lower in 1997 than in 1996 in the input files for the Eulerian model.
- Comparison of partial source-receptor matrices computed for 1996 and 1997 showed larger deposition values for 1997. On average, deposition in partial source-receptor matrices computed for 1997 was 7%, 16% and 14% higher than in 1996. Because, of higher dry to wet deposition ratio in 1997, higher 'country-to-itself' depositions can be noticed but on average, differences are within the range expected from different meteorological conditions.

REFERENCES

- ADDENDUM (1989) Addendum to MSC-W Report 1998 Part 2: "Numerical Addendum". EMEP/MSC-W Report 1/98. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Bartnicki J. (1989) A simple filtering procedure for removing negative values from numerical solutions of the advection equation. *Environmental Software*, **4**, 187-201.
- Bott A. (1989a) A positive definite advection scheme obtained by nonlinear renormalization of the advective fluxes. *Monthly Weather Review*, **117**, 1006-1015.
- Bott A. (1989b) Reply. Monthly Weather Review, 117, 2633-2636.
- Jakobsen H. A., Jonson, J. E., Berge, E. (1996) Transport and deposition calculations of sulphur and nitrogen compounds in Europe for 1992 in the 50 km grid by use of the multi-layer Eulerian model. EMEP/MSC-W Note 2/96. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Research Report no 34, The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Mylona S., Støren E. and A. Grini (1999) EMEP Emission data. Status Report 1999. EMEP/ MSC-W Note 1/99. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Olendrzynski K. (1999) Operational EMEP Eulerian Acid Deposition Model. EMEP/MSC-W Note 4/99. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Tsyro S. (1998). Country-to-country deposition budgets from 1985 to 1997. *Appendix E in: MSC-W Status Report 1998. Part 2: Numerical Addendum.* EMEP/MSC-W Report 1/98. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.

Tsyro S. (1999). Personal communication.