# **Science** Advances AAAS

advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/3/eaay2174/DC1

# Supplementary Materials for

## Direct targeting of oncogenic RAS mutants with a tumor-specific cytosolpenetrating antibody inhibits RAS mutant-driven tumor growth

Seung-Min Shin, Ji-Sun Kim, Seong-Wook Park, Sei-Yong Jun, Hye-Jin Kweon, Dong-Ki Choi, Dakeun Lee, Yong Beom Cho, Yong-Sung Kim\*

\*Corresponding author. Email: kimys@ajou.ac.kr

Published 15 January 2020, Sci. Adv. 6, eaay2174 (2020) DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aay2174

#### This PDF file includes:

Fig. S1. Procedures of stepwise engineering to generate the second-generation active RASspecific iMab, inRas37.

Fig. S2. Preparation of the RT22 VH and in4 cyclic peptide and biochemical characterization of inRas37.

Fig. S3. inRas37 has more potent antitumor efficacy in vivo than RT11-i does, without noticeable toxic side effects.

Fig. S4. inRas37 causes dose-dependent inhibition of in vivo growth of KRAS<sup>G12V</sup> SW480 tumor xenografts in mice.

Fig. S5. Comparison of cell surface expression levels of integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  in various RAS<sup>WT</sup> and RAS<sup>MUT</sup> cell lines.

Fig. S6. inRas37 suppresses the in vivo growth of various RAS<sup>MUT</sup> tumor xenografts in mice without noticeable systemic toxicity.

Fig. S7. IHC and Western blot analyses of tumor tissues excised from mice after treatment. Fig. S8. Combined treatment of KRAS<sup>MUT</sup> cell lines with a pharmacological inhibitor and either inRas37 or inCT37.

Table S1. Binding constants for the interactions of inRas37 with GppNHp-loaded active forms of RAS, as determined by SPR analysis.

Table S2. Binding constants for the interactions of inRas37 with integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 5 and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3, as determined at pH 7.4 and/or pH 6.0 by biolayer interferometry.

Table S3. Quantitative assessment of the cellular uptake and cytosolic concentrations of RT11-i and inRas37 in HeLa and SW480 cells.

Table S4. CRC driver mutations from the CCLE and COSMIC datasets.

Table S5. List of resources (antibodies, recombinant proteins, and chemicals) used in this study.

ng.	31						
Α	RT11-i	0 CT03 VL 2 RT22 VH	inRas03	inRas07	ALAPG mutatic	inRas37	INRas37-AAA PAAA91 S TO3-AAA VL
	RT11 B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	tt light chain N-t RGD10 cyclic ;	erminus oeptide	Without ligh fused in	it chain N-termi 4 cyclic peptide	Ras37	⑥ TMab4 VH inCT37 中心 服務
В	IgG Clones	И	VL	Integrin ανβ5/ανβ3- targeting peptide	lgG1 Fc		
	RT11	RT11 VH	TMab4 VL	-	WT		
	RT11-i	RT11 VH	TMab4 VL	RGD10	WT		
	inRas03	RT22 VH	CT03 VL	RGD10	WT		
	inRas07	RT22 VH	CT03 VL	in4	WT		
	Ras37	RT22 VH	CT03 VL	-	LALAPG		
	inCT37	TMab4 VH	CT03 VL	in4	LALAPG		
	inRas37	RT22 VH	CT03 VL	in4	LALAPG		
	inRas37-AAA	RT22 VH	CT03-AAA VL	in4	LALAPG		

fin 61

Fig. S1. Procedures of stepwise engineering to generate the second-generation active **RAS-specific iMab, inRas37.** (A) A schematic representation of the stepwise engineering procedures to generate inRas37: i) RT11-i  $\rightarrow$  inRas03: (1) The VL of RT11-i was replaced with the CT03 VL with enhanced endosomal-escape activity and improved developability by removing HSPG-binding activity; (2) The VH of RT11-i was replaced with the RT22 VH with enhanced affinity for the active RAS form. ii) inRas03  $\rightarrow$  inRas07: (3) the RGD10 cyclic peptide was replaced with affinity-matured integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 5-and- $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3-targeting cyclic peptide (called in4) for the receptor-mediated endocytosis. iii) inRas07  $\rightarrow$  inRas37: (4) LALAPG mutations (L234A, L235A, and P329G) were introduced into human IgG1 Fc to improve pharmacokinetics by abrogating interactions with FcyRs on immune cells. We also generated control antibodies: inRas37-AAA, which has a substitution of <sup>92</sup>WYW<sup>94</sup> with  $^{92}AAA^{94}$  in the endosomal-escape motif of the CT03 VL (5) such that it has no endosome-escape activity; inCT37, which has the null VH of TMab4 without RAS-binding activity (6) such that it does not bind to RAS; RT11 and RAS37, which have no light chain N-terminus-fused integrin-targeting cyclic peptide. (B) The summary of functional components (VH, VL, the integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta$ 5-and- $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3-targeting cyclic peptide, and Fc regions) of active RAS-targeting iMabs and control antibodies used in this study.

#### fig. S2



#### Fig. S2. Preparation of the RT22 VH and in4 cyclic peptide and biochemical

characterization of inRas37. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of the active RAS-specific RT11 VH and RT22 VH. The RT22 VH was isolated from a yeast surface-displayed library with randomization in VH-CDR1 and VH-CDR3 of the RT11 VH in single-chain Fab format by pairing with a fixed LC (CT03 VL-C $\kappa$ ) and then screening it against the biotinylated GppNHp-loaded KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>·(KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>·GppNHp) active form in the presence of a 10-fold molar excess of the nonbiotinylated GDP-loaded KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> (KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>·GDP) inactive form as a soluble competitor. (B) The phage library construction scheme and sequence of the isolated in4 cyclic peptide. The phage library of the RGD10 cyclic peptide was generated with randomization on the "X"-denoted residues with degenerate codons of NNK (20 aa), ARR (R or K), and GAN (D or E) as a fusion to the N-terminus of the CT03 VL and then screened against integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$ , thus yielding the in4 cyclic peptide. (C) Representative SPR sensorgrams showing the kinetic interactions of RT11-i, inRas37, and inCT37 with active KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>·GppNHp, whose concentrations are indicated (colored). The injection of  $KRAS^{G12D} \cdot GDP (100 \text{ nM}) \text{ (dashed line) yielded negligible binding to RT11-i and inRas37. ($ **D**)Representative binding isotherms of the immobilized antibodies to a soluble antigen, integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  and  $\alpha\nu\beta3$ , as measured at the indicated pH by biolayer interferometry on the Octet QKe system (ForteBio). The concentrations of integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta 5$  and  $\alpha\nu\beta 3$  are indicated (colored). (E) Binding activity of inRas37, inRas37-AAA, and RT11-i toward KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> GppNHp or KRAS<sup>G12D</sup>·GDP, as determined by an ELISA. Error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).



Fig. S3. inRas37 has more potent antitumor efficacy in vivo than RT11-i does, without noticeable toxic side effects. (A) Tumor growth curves measured during treatment (left) and tumor weight at the end of treatment (right) in response to i.v. injection of the indicated antibodies twice a week (arrows) at a dose of 20 mpk for a total 5 doses into BALB/c nude mice harboring LoVo tumor xenografts. (B) Mouse body weight measured during the treatments as described in (A). (C) Liver weights of mice after treatment as described in (A). (D) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of representative tissue slides from the liver of mice after treatment as described in (A). Abnormal histological features suggestive of hepatic toxicity, e.g., hepatocyte degeneration, necrosis, fat content change, cholestasis, portal/periportal inflammation, and fibrosis, were not observed in all samples. Magnification, ×200; scale bar, 100 µm. In (A-C), error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 6 per group). \*\*\*P < 0.001 between the indicated groups.



## Fig. S4. inRas37 causes dose-dependent inhibition of in vivo growth of ${\rm KRAS}^{\rm G12V}$

**SW480 tumor xenografts in mice.** (A) Mouse body weight measured during the treatments described in Fig. 4B. Error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 6 per group). (B) Photographs of tumors excised from mice after the treatment described in Fig. 4B. (C) The weight of individual tumors from each treatment group. Error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 6 per group). Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Newman–Keuls *post hoc* test. \*\**P* < 0.01 vs. group inCT37. (D) Western blot analysis of p-ERK1/2 and p-AKT in tumor tissue lysates prepared before antibody dosing on days 3 and 10 and at the end of treatment on day 17 (n = 3 per group on days 3 and 10, n = 6 per group on day 17). The quantified data are given in Fig 4D. All images are representative of at least two independent experiments.



Fig. S5. Comparison of cell surface expression levels of integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  in various RAS<sup>WT</sup> and RAS<sup>MUT</sup> cell lines. (A) Flow-cytometric analysis of cell surface expression levels of integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  in various RAS<sup>MUT</sup> and RAS<sup>WT</sup> cell lines used in Fig. 5A. (B and C) Relative expression of cell surface integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  compared to isotype control in colorectal cancer (B), lung cancer, melanoma, bladder cancer, acute monocytic leukemia, and breast cancer cells (C). Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) values were determined in the FlowJo software, and relative expression levels were calculated as follows: relative expression = (MFI of anti-integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  or  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  antibody)/(MFI of isotype control antibody). The horizontal dotted lines indicate the relative expression = 1.0.



Fig. S6. inRas37 suppresses the in vivo growth of various RAS<sup>MUT</sup> tumor xenografts in mice without noticeable systemic toxicity. (A) The weight of individual tumors from each treatment group (upper panels) and photographs of tumors (lower panels) excised from mice 24 h after last treatment, as shown Fig. 5C. Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Newman–Keuls *post hoc* test. \*\*\*P < 0.01 vs. group inCT37; n.s., not significant. (B) Mouse body weight measured during the treatments described in Fig. 5C. In (A, B), error bars represent the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 6 per group, except for LoVo, n = 7 per group).



fig. S7

# Fig. S7. IHC and Western blot analyses of tumor tissues excised from mice after treatment. (A) IHC analysis of the indicated antibodies (green), with activated RAS (red) in SW1116-, HCT116-, and Colo320DM-derived tumor tissues prepared 24 h after last treatment. The arrows indicate the colocalization of inRas37 with activated RAS. Scale bar, 10 $\mu$ m. (B) IHC analysis of p-ERK1/2 (green), p-AKT (green), Ki-67 (red), and TUNEL (green) staining levels in LoVo-, SW403-, SW1116-, HCT116-, and Colo320DM-derived tumor tissues prepared 24 h after last treatment. Scale bar, 100 $\mu$ m. The quantified data are shown in Fig. 5E. (C) The relative fluorescence intensity (%) compared to that in the vehicle-treated control and the percentage of Ki-67–positive and TUNEL-positive cells as compared to the number of Hoechst 33342–stained cells for each sample. Error bars represent the mean $\pm$ SD of five random fields for each immunofluorescent sample (n = two tumors per group). Statistical analyses were performed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Newman–Keuls *post hoc* test. \*\*\**P* < 0.001 *vs*. inCT37. (D) Western blot analysis of the indicated proteins in SW1116-, HCT116-, and Colo320DM-derived tumor tissue lysates prepared 24 h after the last treatment. All images are representative of at least two independent experiments.



Fig. S8. Combined treatment of KRAS<sup>MUT</sup> cell lines with a pharmacological inhibitor and either inRas37 or inCT37. (A) Dose-response effects of combined treatment with inCT37 and one of five pharmacological inhibitors ("i" in the label) on the viability of KRAS<sup>MUT</sup> cancer cells after combined treatment every other day (days 0, 2, and 4) at the indicated concentrations for 6 d in 3D-spheroid cultures. Heatmaps indicate percentages of cell viability relative to buffer-treated control (n = 3). The combinations of inCT37 with one of the five pharmacological inhibitors did not show any synergistic effects. (B) Mouse body weight measured during the treatments described in Fig. 6C. Error bars represent the mean  $\pm$ SD (n = 6 per group). (C) Western blot analysis of the indicated proteins in inRas37-sensitive SW480 cells and inRas37-resistant HCT116 cells, treated twice, at 0 and 48 h, with the indicated antibodies (2 µM), VP (YAP1i verteporfin, 2.5 µM), or COP (PI3Ki copanlisib, 50 nM) alone or as the indicated combination for 54 h in media containing of 1% FBS and then were stimulated with EGF (10 ng/ml) for 10 min before cell lysis. All images are representative of at least two independent experiments. These in vitro experiments suggested that YAP1 overexpression represents activation of an adaptive parallel signaling pathway to counteract the KRAS<sup>MUT</sup>-blocking effect of inRas37 only in inRas37-sensitive cell lines, as shown in the *in vivo* experiments. The adaptive resistance was overcome by a combination of inRas37 and VP (YAP1i verteporfin).

Anti-	DACmustoing	Kinetic parameters*				
bodies	KAS proteins	$k_{\rm a} ({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm d}  ({\rm s}^{-1})$	$K_{\rm D}({\rm M})$		
RT11-i	KRAS <sup>G12D</sup> ·GppNHp	$(1.99 \pm 0.81) \times 10^4$	$(2.82 \pm 1.51) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.42 \pm 1.13) \times 10^{-8}$		
	KRAS <sup>WT</sup> ·GppNHp	$(3.64 \pm 0.27) \times 10^4$	$(2.69 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-4}$	$(7.47 \pm 1.90) \times 10^{-9}$		
	KRAS <sup>G12D</sup> ·GppNHp	$(8.19 \pm 0.30) \times 10^4$	$(5.87 \pm 0.40)  imes 10^{-4}$	$(7.17 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-9}$		
	KRAS <sup>G12V</sup> ·GppNHp	$(3.28 \pm 0.29) \times 10^4$	$(3.06 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$	$(9.42 \pm 1.69) \times 10^{-9}$		
	KRAS <sup>G13D</sup> ·GppNHp	$(5.26 \pm 0.26) \times 10^4$	$(4.84\pm0.09)\times10^{-4}$	$(9.21 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-9}$		
inRas37	KRAS <sup>Q61H</sup> ·GppNHp	$(5.68 \pm 0.57) \times 10^4$	$(4.92\pm 0.21)\times 10^{^{-4}}$	$(8.69\pm 0.49)\times 10^{^{-9}}$		
	HRAS <sup>WT</sup> ·GppNHp	$(4.19 \pm 1.16) \times 10^5$	$(3.30 \pm 1.04) \times 10^{-3}$	$(7.81 \pm 0.55) \times 10^{-9}$		
	HRAS <sup>G12V</sup> ·GppNHp	$(3.79 \pm 0.27) \times 10^4$	$(1.72 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-4}$	$(4.52\pm 0.78)\times 10^{^{-9}}$		
	NRAS <sup>WT</sup> ·GppNHp	$(3.30 \pm 0.49) \times 10^4$	$(2.78 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$	$(8.50\pm 0.99)\times 10^{^{-9}}$		
	NRAS <sup>Q61R</sup> ·GppNHp	$(5.86 \pm 0.75) \times 10^5$	$(7.50 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.21 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-8}$		

Table S1. Binding constants for the interactions of inRas37 with GppNHp-loaded active forms of RAS, as determined by SPR analysis.

<sup>\*</sup> Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SD of two independent experiments. In each experiment, at least five datasets were used to determine the kinetic constants. The dissociation ( $k_{off}$ ) and association rate constants ( $k_{on}$ ) and the dissociation equilibrium constant ( $K_D$ ) values, were determined via the 1:1 Langmuir binding model in the BIAevaluation software.

Integrin	Antibodies	рН	Kinetic parameters*			
proteins			$k_{a} (M^{-1}s^{-1})$	$k_{\rm d}  ({\rm s}^{-1})$	<i>K</i> <sub>D</sub> (M)	
	RT11-i	pH 7.4	$(6.73 \pm 0.08) \times 10^4$	$(4.69 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}$	$(7.04 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-9}$	
Integrin	inCT37	pH 7.4	$(7.58 \pm 0.07) \times 10^4$	$(2.37 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$	$(3.13 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-9}$	
ανβ5	inRas37	pH 7.4	$(3.77 \pm 0.05) \times 10^4$	$(1.55 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$	$(4.10 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-9}$	
		pH 6.0	$(1.52 \pm 0.02) \times 10^4$	$(8.13 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$	$(5.33 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-8}$	
	RT11-i	pH 7.4	$(2.07 \pm 0.02) \times 10^5$	$(9.40 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-5}$	$(4.53 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-10}$	
Integrin	inCT37	pH 7.4	$(2.71 \pm 0.03) \times 10^5$	$(3.01 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.11 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-9}$	
ανβ3	inRas37	pH 7.4	$(4.36 \pm 0.06) \times 10^5$	$(1.88 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.30\pm 0.06)\times 10^{-9}$	
		pH 6.0	$(2.94 \pm 0.06) \times 10^4$	$(2.55 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$	$(8.66 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-8}$	

Table S2. Binding constants for the interactions of inRas37 with integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta5$  and integrin  $\alpha\nu\beta3$ , as determined at pH 7.4 and/or pH 6.0 by biolayer interferometry.

<sup>\*</sup> Each value represents the mean  $\pm$  SE of two independent experiments. In each experiment, at least five datasets were used to determine the kinetic constants. The dissociation ( $k_{off}$ ) and association rate constants ( $k_{on}$ ) and the dissociation equilibrium constant ( $K_D$ ) values were determined by means of the 1:1 Langmuir binding model in the ForteBio Octet data analysis software (version 11.0).

# Table S3. Quantitative assessment of the cellular uptake and cytosolic concentrations of RT11-i and inRas37 in HeLa and SW480 cells.

Parameters from quantitative western blotting assay in HeLa cell line $^{*}$							
	RT11	RT11-i concentration (µM)			inRas37 concentration (µM)		
	0.1	0.5	1	0.1	0.5	1	
Antibody in culture media at time point = 0 h ( $T$ ) (pmole)	$39.9\pm0.9$	$193\pm3$	$348\pm4$	$38.7 \pm 1.1$	$168\pm 6$	$336\pm10$	
Antibody in culture media after 6 h incubation $(\mathbf{R})$ (pmole)	$36.0\pm0.6$	$178\pm5$	$326\pm4$	$36.4\pm0.9$	$160\pm4$	$323\pm11$	
Internalized amount of Antibody ( $I$ ) (pmole) $^{\dagger}$	$0.25\pm0.02$	$0.67\pm0.06$	$1.03\pm0.07$	$0.18\pm0.02$	$0.49\pm0.05$	$0.75\pm0.06$	
Cellular uptake efficiency (%) =[ $I + (T - (R + I))$ ]/ $T \times 100^{\dagger}$	$8.56 \pm 1.09$	$7.58 \pm 2.12$	$5.92 \pm 1.98$	$5.94 \pm 1.71$	$4.49 \pm 1.81$	$3.96 \pm 1.14$	
Intracellular concentration of antibody $(\mu M)$ $^{\ddagger}$	$0.63\pm0.03$	$1.68\pm0.05$	$2.58\pm0.05$	$0.45\pm0.02$	$1.23\pm0.03$	$1.88\pm0.05$	
Parameters from spli	t GFP complen	nentation assay	in HeLa-SA-(	GFP1-10 cell lii	ne <sup>§</sup>		
	RT11	-i concentration	(µM)	inRas37 concentration (µM)			
	0.1	0.5	1	0.1	0.5	1	
Cytosolic amount of antibody (fmole)	$0.41\pm0.09$	$2.63\pm0.27$	$5.82\pm0.49$	$0.59\pm0.25$	$5.28\pm0.43$	$12.0\pm0.66$	
Cytosolic concentration of antibody (nM) $^{\parallel}$	$18\pm5$	$116\pm12$	$258\pm19$	$26\pm11$	$234\pm17$	$531\pm24$	
Endosome-escape efficiency (%) <sup>¶</sup>	$1.6\pm0.5$	$3.9\pm0.8$	$5.7\pm0.1$	$3.4\pm1.0$	$10.8\pm0.2$	$16.0\pm1.9$	
Parameters from	n quantitative	western blottin	g assay in SW4	480 cell line <sup>*</sup>			
	RT11-i concentration (µM)		inRas37 concentration (µM)		n (μM)		
	0.1	0.5	1	0.1	0.5	1	
Antibody in culture media at time point = 0 h ( $T$ ) (pmole)	$42.7\pm0.7$	$163\pm5$	$348\pm9$	$40.5\pm1.2$	$200\pm7$	$362\pm11$	
Antibody in culture media after 6 h incubation ( <b>R</b> ) (pmole)	$39.7\pm0.5$	$158\pm7$	$333\pm8$	$38.7\pm0.7$	$190\pm 6$	$349\pm10$	
Internalized amount of Antibody ( $I$ ) (pmole) $^{\dagger}$	$0.10\pm0.01$	$0.29\pm0.04$	$0.57\pm0.07$	$0.06\pm0.02$	$0.32\pm0.05$	$0.40\pm0.05$	
Cellular uptake efficiency (%) = $[I + (T - (R + I))]/T \times 100^{\dagger}$	$6.96\pm0.64$	$4.21\pm0.59$	$4.08\pm0.51$	$4.95 \pm 1.13$	$4.42\pm0.95$	$3.38\pm0.79$	
Intracellular concentration of antibody ( $\mu M$ ) <sup>‡</sup>	$0.30\pm0.02$	$0.73\pm0.05$	$1.43\pm0.06$	$0.20\pm0.02$	$0.80\pm0.05$	$1.03\pm0.10$	
Parameters from split GFP complementation assay in SW480-SA-GFP1-10 cell line <sup>8</sup>							
	RT11-i concentration (μM) inRas37 concentration (μM)						
	0.1	0.5	1	0.1	0.5	1	
Cytosolic amount of antibody (fmole)	$0.17 \pm 0.07$	$1.12\pm0.16$	$2.48\pm0.36$	$0.25\pm0.18$	$2.25\pm0.14$	$5.11\pm0.57$	
Cytosolic concentration of antibody (nM) $^{\parallel}$	$9\pm4$	$56\pm7$	$123\pm18$	$12\pm7$	$118\pm 6$	$255\pm23$	

<sup>\*</sup> All the parameters were measured by quantitative western blotting in HeLa and SW480 cells ( $2 \times 10^{5}$ /well) incubated at 37 °C for 6 hr with the indicated concentrations of the antibody in a 12-well culture plate. The cells were washed twice with the low-pH glycine buffer and then PBS. Cell lysates were diluted with PBS to 12 µl volume containing ~10 µg of total proteins for loading on the SDS-PAGE gel followed by western blot analysis. Standard western blotting was also performed on a known amount (0–4 ng) of the purified antibody loaded on the same gel. The amount of the antibody in cell culture media was also determined by western blotting with serial dilution of culture media (~1:12,500 dilution). All the western blotting exposures were performed under the same conditions for direct comparisons. Band densities were quantified using the ImageJ software. The internalized amount of the antibody was estimated by fitting the band intensity of samples to a standard plot, as described before (refs. *18, 26*)

 $3.9 \pm 0.1$ 

 $4.3 \pm 0.1$ 

 $3.8 \pm 1.2$ 

 $7.4 \pm 0.6$ 

 $12.8 \pm 0.2$ 

 $1.7\pm0.4$ 

Endosome-escape efficiency (%) ¶

<sup>†</sup> The internalized amount and cellular uptake efficiency of the antibody after 6 hr of incubation includes the antibody fraction inside whole cells including endocytotic vesicles and cytosol.

<sup>‡</sup> The intracellular concentration of the antibody was estimated assuming the volume of HeLa and SW480 cells as ~2000  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup> (refs. *15*, *18*, *26*).

<sup>§</sup> The cytosolic split-GFP complementation assay was performed on HeLa-SA-GFP1-10 and SW480-SA-GFP1-10 cells, which were incubated with the indicated concentrations of an antibody (RT11-i-GFP11-SBP2 and

inRas37-GFP11-SBP2) at 37 °C for 6 hr, as described in detail in the Methods and in our previous works (refs. 15, 18, 26).

The cytosolic molar concentration was estimated by dividing the cytosolic amount with the cytosolic volume (~1000  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup>) of HeLa and SW480 cells (refs. *18*, *26*). The endosomal-escape efficiency was estimated by dividing the cytosolic amount by the internalized amount

of the antibody.

HCC44 GI2C WT	
THP-1 WT G12D WT <t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
NCI-H747 GI3D WT WT E282K WT	
SNU-175 A59T WT WT A864V WT WT WT WT WT WT WT A199V, R232*, WT WT WT WT	
SK-MEL-2 WT Q61R WT Sensitiv	ity to inRas37
LS513 GI2D WT WT WT WT WT WT WT E204V WT WT WT WT WT T	aitiva
Calu-6 Q6IK WT	Isitive
H441 GI2V WT	sistant
SW620 GI2V WT QI338* WT WT WT WT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
HCC2108 Qoli wT	sistant
SWI116 GIZA WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT Q264*, Q1429is WT WT WT WT (KA	AS wild-type)
124 VI WI GIZV VI WI	
SW400 012V WI 01250° WI WI WI WI SWI SWI 10120 WT	adtoration
JEAD-1 OF IN	c alter ation
A 549 GI2S WT	hogenic mutant
LaVa GI3D WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT R1114*, T1430fs, WT WT WT NO	npathogenic mutant
	wild-type
DLD-1 G13D WT WT WT WT R667H, E545K, WT WT WT R72/M, K993N, WT A268S 1246F	
H1792 GI2C WT WT WT WT $\sqrt{V^{104}R^1}$ WT	
SNIL1033 GI2D WT	
HS578T WT WT GI2D WT	
H1299 WT O61K WT	
H2030 GI2C WT	
SW403 G12V WT WT WT WT WT Q546K WT WT WT N125K, F1197fs, WT WT WT	
<b>CNUL 91</b> ALLEE FLORE WE WE WE WE WE REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REA	
SINU-61 A1401 E132K WI WI WI WI WI K7/0Q K233Q, WI WI R1450*, R2204* R474Q A320V E324K	
SNU-61 G12D WT WT WT S1050L WT WT WT WT WT R1450* WT WT WT WT	
HCT15 G13D WT WT WT K120N, R67H, P1142H E545K, D549N WT WT WT K127N, K995N, G1416fs, K1561N, WT A268S 1246F	
SNU-C2A G12D WT WT R165Q R678Q WT D725G 3'UTR del WT C211Y (MAP2K2) P2048fs WT WT WT	
H460 Q61H WT WT WT WT WT E545K WT WT Y134C WT WT WT WT WT WT	
HCT116 G13D WT WT WT WT Q261* H1047R WT WT WT WT S45del A343V WT	
HCT8 GI3D WT WT WT WT NCGUL DEGUL DEGUL WT WT WT WT R216* K993N, V791 A268S WT	
LS174T G12D WT WT WT WT WT WT H1047R WT WT WT WT WT S45F E592G WT	
H358 GI2C WT WT WT WT WT Q921* WT WT WT WT T75A R209H WT	
H2009 G12A WT	
SNU-407 G12D WT WT V292M WT V104M, H1047R WT R726C WT WT T41A WT WT	
Calu-1 Gi2C WT	
H522 WT	
HT29 WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT WT V600E WT E853*, T1556fs WT WT WT	
H1650 WT WT WT T46.A WT WT WT WT WT A1358T WT WT WT	
Colo320DM WT	
Caco2 WT Q1337* G245A WT WT	
Calu-3 WT	
SNU-503 WT	
HCC827 WT WT WT E746_A WT	

### Table S4. CRC driver mutations from the CCLE and COSMIC datasets.

# Table S5. List of resources (antibodies, recombinant proteins, and chemicals) used in this study.

Antibodies or Reagents	Company	Catalog no.
Antibodies		
Rabbit polyclonal anti-MEK1/2	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 9122, RRID: AB_823567
Rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-MEK1/2 (Ser217/Ser221)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 9121, RRID: AB_331648
Rabbit monoclonal anti-ERK1/2	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 4695, RRID: AB_390779
Rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 4377, RRID: AB_331775
Rabbit polyclonal anti-AKT	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 9272, RRID: AB_329827
Rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-AKT (Ser473)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 4060, RRID: AB_2315049
Rabbit polyclonal anti-p70S6K	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 9202, RRID: AB_331676
Rabbit polyclonal anti-phospho-p70S6K (Thr389)	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 9205, RRID: AB_330944
Rabbit polyclonal anti-YAPI	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 4912, RRID: AB_2218911
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Rab5	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. # 3547, RRID: AB_2300649
Rabbit polycional anti-phospho-FOS (Inr325)	Abcam	Cat. # $ab2//93$ , KRID: AB_4/1132
Raddit monocional anti-RAS	Abcam	Cat. # ab108002, KRID: AB_1089100
Rabbit polycional phospho-EKK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) Pabbit polycional anti Ki 67	Abcam	Cat. # $a04819$ , KKID: AD_304033
Mouse monoclonal anti-KRAS	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat # sc-30 RRID: AB 627865
Mouse monoclonal anti-ß-actin	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat # sc-69879 RRID: AB 1119529
Donkey polyclonal anti-goat IgG antibody, HRP-conjugated	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat # sc-2033, RRID: AB 631729
Rabbit polyclonal anti-mouse IgG antibody, HRP-conjugated	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # A9044. RRID: AB 258431
Goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG antibody, HRP-conjugated	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # A6154, RRID: AB 258284
Mouse monoclonal anti-GST, HRP-conjugated	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # A7340, RRID: AB 258340
Mouse monoclonal anti-polyHistidine, HRP-conjugated	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # A7058, RRID: AB_258326
Mouse monoclonal anti-FLAG	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # F3165; RRID: AB_259529
Goat polyclonal anti-human IgG antibody (Fab specific)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # I5260, RRID: AB_260206
Goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG antibody, TRITC-conjugated	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # T6778, RRID: AB_261740
Mouse monoclonal anti-human integrin αvβ3	R&D Systems	Cat. # MAB3050, RRID: AB_212818
Mouse monoclonal anti-human integrin αvβ5	R&D Systems	Cat. # MAB2528, RRID: AB_228070
Goat polyclonal anti-human IgG antibody (Fc specific)	Invitrogen	Cat. # 31125, RRID: AB_429669
Goat polyclonal anti-human IgG antibody, HRP-conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat. # 62-8420, RRID: AB_2533962
Goat polyclonal anti-human IgG antibody, Alexa Fluor 488- conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat. # A-11013; RRID: AB_141360
Goat polyclonal anti-mouse IgG antibody, Alexa Fluor 488- conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat. # A-11001, RRID: AB_2534069
Goat polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG antibody, Alexa Fluor 488- conjugated	Invitrogen	Cat. # A-11008, RRID: AB_143165
Mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc	Invitrogen	Cat. # MA1-980, RRID: AB_2537627
Rat monoclonal anti-HA, HRP-conjugated	Roche	Cat. # 12013819001, RRID: AB_3909
Chemicals and Recombinant Proteins		
Human integrin αvβ5	R&D Systems	Cat. # 2528-AV-050
Human integrin αvβ3	R&D Systems	Cat. # 3050-AV-050
Human EGF	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. # PHG0311L
Trametinib	Selleck Chemicals	Cat. # S2673, CAS # 871700-17-3
LY294002	Merck Millipore	Cat. # 440202, CAS # 154447-36-6
Copanlisib	Adooq Bioscience	Cat. # A11766, CAS # 1032568-63-0
Afatinib	Selleck Chemicals	Cat. # S1011, CAS # 439081-18-2
Ponatinib	Selleck Chemicals	Cat. # S1490, CAS # 943319-70-8
iCRT14	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # SML0203, CAS # 677331-12-3
Verteporfin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # SML0534, CAS # 129497-78-5
GppNHp	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. # G0635, CAS # 148892-91-5
GDP	Merck Millipore	Cat. # 20-177
EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. # 21217, CAS # 119616-38-5
Sephadex G-25 in PD-10 desalting column	GE Healthcare	Cat. # 17085101
Superdex 200 10/300 GL column	GE Healthcare	Cat. # 17-5175-01
Zenix SEC-300 column	Sepax Technologies	Cat. # 213300-7830
Streptavidin-conjugated R-phycoerythrin (SA-PE)	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. # S21388
TMB-ELISA solution	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. # 34028
Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat. # 78440
Fluorescence Mounting Medium	Dako	Cat. # 3023
Raf-1 RBD, agarose	Merck Millipore	Cat. # 14-278
Lipofectamine RNAiMAX	Invitrogen	Cat. # 13778075
Lipofectamine 3000	Invitrogen	Cat. # L3000008
Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix	Corning	Cat. # 354234
	-	
Isoflurane	Piramal Critical Care	Cat. # 66794-017-25

Poly-L-lysine solution	Sigma	Cat. # P8920
Cardiolipin	Sigma	Cat. # C0563
Hemocyanin (KHL)	Sigma	Cat. # H8283
Deoxyribonucleic acid (dsDNA)	Sigma	Cat. # D4522
Insulin	Sigma	Cat. # I9278
siRNA targeting human integrin β5 #1	Bioneer	Cat. # 1075906
siRNA targeting human integrin β5 #2	Bioneer	Cat. # 1075915